MATRIK REVISI SUBSTANSI: MAJOR REVISION

| NO | MATERI REVISI (KOMENTAR REVIEWER | PERUBAHAN YANG DILAKUKAN | HALAMAN SEBELUM REVISI (PAPER 5 APRIL 2023) | HALAMAN SETELAH REVISI (PAPER 21 JUNI 2023) |
|------|--|--|--|---|
| Revi | ewer's Comments | | | |
| A1 | Authors should rewrite the abstract. Abstract must contains: research originality, research objectives, research methods, empirical result, and practical implications. | The abstract was revised to meet the reviewer's comments. Contributions to previous research and practical implications have been added. In "Paper Revision 1" it is highlighted in yellow. | 1 | 1 |
| A2 | The introduction still lack of academic debate. The introduction still not able to show briefly about the differentiation between this research and previous research. Besides that, the research originality from this research is still not clear. | The introductory section has been substantially revised both the writing systematics and the addition of literature to show the rationality of the study and the differences between this study and previous research. In " Paper Revision | 1 | 2 |

| | | 1" the entire | | |
|----|--|---|---|------|
| | | Introduction | | |
| | | section is | | |
| | | highlighted in | | |
| | | yellow. | | |
| A3 | The grand theory from this research is | The | 5 | 9-10 |
| | still not clear. | theoretical | | |
| | | basis has been | | |
| | | revised and | | |
| | | added to the | | |
| | | | | |
| | | literature. This | | |
| | | study use | | |
| | | Agency | | |
| | | Theory and | | |
| | | Stakeholder | | |
| | | Theory. | | |
| | | Hypothesis | | |
| | | development | | |
| | | is changed. | | |
| | | The four | | |
| | | | | |
| | | hypotheses | | |
| | | are | | |
| | | highlighted. | | |
| A4 | Periode of the study | Explanation | - | 15 |
| | The author should declare and explain | has been | | |
| | why only stated until 2020, because | added in the | | |
| | nowadays is 2023 | paper (on | | |
| | | Research | | |
| | | Design page | | |
| | | 15) | | |
| | | - / | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | The study | | |
| | | The study | | |
| | | period is only | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah Mandiri, Bank | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah Mandiri, Bank BNI Syariah and Bank BRI | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah Mandiri, Bank BNI Syariah and Bank BRI Syariah have | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah Mandiri, Bank BNI Syariah and Bank BRI Syariah have been merged | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah Mandiri, Bank BNI Syariah and Bank BRI Syariah have been merged to become | | |
| | | period is only until 2020 (2011-2020), because since 2021 Bank Syariah Mandiri, Bank BNI Syariah and Bank BRI Syariah have been merged | | |

| | | 1 | | |
|-------|---|--|----|----|
| A5 | Authors should rewrite the empirical result and interpretation in this research. | In the sub- topic Empirical Results an explanation has been added (highlighted in yellow) | 9 | 17 |
| A6 | The analysis is very weak, authors must add more depth analysis in the discussion section. | Analysis has been revised by adding explanation (highlighted in yellow) | 11 | 19 |
| A7 | The conclusion is not clear. | Conclusion has been revised by adding explanation (highlighted in yellow) | 13 | 23 |
| A8 | Add more references from reputable journals. | References from reputable journals have also been added. Including additional references suggested by the Editorial Board (highlighted in yellow) | 14 | 26 |
| A9 | Please use proofread for the English editing | Done. By CILACS UII. Translator statement at the end of the paper | 17 | 30 |
| Edite | or's Comments | | | |
| B1 | Your current structure is messy and so please re-structure paper as follows: 1. Introduction, 2. Background, 3. | Paper has been re- structure | - | - |

| | | | | , |
|----|---|-------------------|---|---|
| | Theoretical literature review, 4. | 1. | | |
| | Empirical literature review and | Introduction, | | |
| | hypotheses development, 5. Research | 2. | | |
| | design, 6. Empirical results and | Background, | | |
| | discussion, and 7. Summary and | 3. Theoretical | | |
| | conclusion. Please you must re- | literature | | |
| | structure your paper according this | review, | | |
| | comment 1, which will involve | 4. Empirical | | |
| | substantial revisions to your work. To | literature | | |
| | reduce wasting the time of reviewers, | review and | | |
| | yours and mine, if this suggestion is not | hypotheses | | |
| | | | | |
| | followed, then, the paper may be | development, | | |
| | rejected without any further review, | 5. Research | | |
| | and so please kindly take this comment | design, | | |
| | seriously. | 6. Empirical | | |
| | | results and | | |
| | | discussion, | | |
| | | 7. Summary | | |
| | | and | | |
| | | conclusion. | | |
| B2 | Introduction: Please clarify your | The | 1 | 1 |
| | research questions, objectives, | introductory | | |
| | background motivation, theoretical and | section has | | |
| | empirical motivation and the lines of | been | | |
| | contributions to the literature. You can | substantially | | |
| | do this by sharply articulating your | , revised both | | |
| | research questions/objectives, identify | the writing | | |
| | the potential theoretical, background | systematics | | |
| | and theoretical motivation or gaps, and | and the | | |
| | explain how your study contributes to | addition of | | |
| | the literature. You can do this by | literature to | | |
| | highlighting the weaknesses of prior | show the | | |
| | | | | |
| | studies as well. Currently, your | rationality of | | |
| | introduction is very dry. Additionally, | the study and | | |
| | you need state clearly the contributions | the | | |
| | of the paper. For example, | differences | | |
| | "Consequently, the current paper seeks | between this | | |
| | to make the following contributions to | study and | | |
| | the existing literature. First,, | previous | | |
| | Second,, Third,, Fourth, and so | research. | | |
| | on". The introduction should be about 5 | | | |
| | pages long. | | | |
| B3 | Background – you need to explain why | In the paper | - | 5 |
| | this is the appropriate context to | dated June 21, | | |
| | conduct this study by exploiting | 2023, the | | |
| | regulatory, reform and policy issues and | entire | | |
| | developments within the research | Introduction | | |
| | | | 1 | |

| | | | | [] |
|----|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|----|
| | context or setting. This should be about | section has | | |
| | two to three pages long. | been changed. | | |
| | | Part of the | | |
| | | Introduction | | |
| | | in the paper | | |
| | | dated April 5, | | |
| | | 2023 has been | | |
| | | | | |
| | | moved to the | | |
| | | Background | | |
| | | section. | | |
| B4 | Literature review and hypotheses | The | 3 | 7 |
| | Development – please enhance your | theoretical | | |
| | hypotheses by: (i) drawing on the | basis has been | | |
| | theory; (ii) empirical literature; (iii) | revised | | |
| | | | | |
| | research setting/contextual insights; | according to | | |
| | and (iv) then setting up your | reviewer | | |
| | hypotheses. You will do this for each | comments | | |
| | hypothesis. Currently, you have not | and | | |
| | developed your hypotheses in this way. | references | | |
| | You will need to so by drawing on both | have been | | |
| | seminal (old) and recently (newly) | added | | |
| | published studies. | | | |
| B5 | Research design – Please identify, | Done. | 7 | 15 |
| 60 | - | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 10 |
| | classify and explain your variables – | Revision and | | |
| | dependent, independent and control | adding | | |
| | variables, as well as any others, such as | explanation | | |
| | moderating or mediating variables. | highlighted in | | |
| | Please also explain your sample | yellow. | | |
| | selection clearly (insert a table | | | |
| | tabulating the steps - how many was | | | |
| | missing, many had data, how many | | | |
| | | | | |
| | selected and why) and also clarify in a | | | |
| | normative way how the variables are | | | |
| | operationalised. Similarly, explain your | | | |
| | sample in a tabular form, outlining step | | | |
| | by step the total population to the | | | |
| | selection of the final sample. Label all | | | |
| | your equations, figures and tables in a | | | |
| | consecutive manner. Make the tables | | | |
| | | | | |
| | self-contained by clearly identifying | | | |
| | dependent, independent and control | | | |
| | variables in the tables. | | | |
| B6 | Empirical findings – please link your | Done. | 9 | 17 |
| | findings more strongly to the: (i) theory, | Revision and | | |
| | (ii) empirics, (iii) context; and (iv) | adding | | |
| | highlight their economic, | explanation | | |
| | academic/research and policy | | | |
| 1 | acaueiiiic/iesedicii dilu pulley | 1 | 1 | |

| | implications Classic links and during | المتعلمة المعام الم | | 1 |
|----|---|---|----|----|
| | implications. Closely link up and cite the papers that you have discussed in the background, theory and empirical literature review & and hypotheses development section to the findings you are presenting here. | highlighted in yellow. | | |
| 87 | Conclusion – Please outline a summary of findings, contributions, implications, limitations and avenues for future research. Especially, expand the discussions relating to implications, limitations and avenues for future research. | Done. Revision and adding explanation highlighted in yellow. | 13 | 23 |
| B8 | In order to build on this line of research in the ACGBE of Cogent Business and Management, please identify at least 3 appropriate and relevant papers from ACGBE and cite to support your arguments. Also, these papers are directly relevant in terms of the recommended structure and content, consider citing and drawing insights to support your analysis: (i) Elamer et al. (2020) Islamic governance, national governance, and bank risk management and disclosure in MENA countries. Business & Society, 59 (5), 914-955. (doi:10.1177/0007650317746108); (ii) Elamer et al. (2019) Sharia supervisory boards, governance structures and operational risk disclosures: evidence from Islamic banks in MENA countries. Global Finance Journal, [100488]. (doi:10.1016/j.gfj.2019.100488); (iii) Elamer et al. (2019) Impact of multi- layer governance on bank risk disclosure in emerging markets: the case of Middle East and North Africa. Accounting Forum, 42 (2), 244-281. (doi:10.1080/01559982.2019.1576577); (iv) Elghuweel et al. (2017) Corporate governance, Islamic governance and earnings management in Oman: a new empirical insights from a behavioural theoretical framework. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 7 | Done. New references highlighted in yellow. | 14 | 26 |

| (2), 190-224. (doi:10.1108/JAEE-09- |
|--|
| 2015-0064); and (v) Al-Bassam et al. |
| (2017) The effect of Islamic values on |
| voluntary corporate governance |
| disclosure: the case of Saudi listed |
| firms. Journal of Islamic Accounting and |
| Business Research, 8 (2), 182-202. |
| (doi:10.1108/JIABR-09-2015-0046). |