



Humanomics

Islamic law and finance

Abdul Ghafar b. Ismail Achmad Tohirin

Article information:

To cite this document:

Abdul Ghafar b. Ismail Achmad Tohirin, (2010), "Islamic law and finance", Humanomics, Vol. 26 Iss 3 pp. 178 - 199

Permanent link to this document:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/08288661011074954>

Downloaded on: 21 June 2015, At: 22:17 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 54 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 6123 times since 2010*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

M. Mansoor Khan, M. Ishaq Bhatti, (2008), "Islamic banking and finance: on its way to globalization", Managerial Finance, Vol. 34 Iss 10 pp. 708-725 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/03074350810891029>

Adel Ahmed, (2010), "Global financial crisis: an Islamic finance perspective", International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, Vol. 3 Iss 4 pp. 306-320 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17538391011093252>

Rodney Wilson, (1997), "Islamic finance and ethical investment", International Journal of Social Economics, Vol. 24 Iss 11 pp. 1325-1342 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/03068299710193624>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:198285 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.



Islamic law and finance

Abdul Ghafar b. Ismail

*Research Centre for Islamic Economics and Finance,
School of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia, and*

Achmad Tohirin

*Centre for Islamic Economics Development and Studies (CIEDS),
Faculty of Economics, Universitas Islam Indonesia,
Depok, Indonesia*

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to discuss Islamic laws which are relevant to finance. More specifically, it covers the types of contracts as foundation for the distinctive Islamic financial products. The current institutional framework of financial institutions seems to be incompatible with the nature of these Islamic contracts.

Design/methodology/approach – This is a conceptual paper describing the link between finance and economic growth in the present of Islamic contracts, which have various types from contract of partnership, buy-sale contract, to contract of usufructs. The nature of Islamic contract is to avoid *riba* (i.e. interest system), because it is unjust and prohibited, meanwhile under conventional system they rely very much on the interest system.

Findings – The conclusion of the paper is that the distinctive character of Islamic contracts applied by Islamic banking and finance relies mostly on the profit and loss sharing mechanism which contains the cooperative spirit, in the contracts such as *mudharabah* (profit-sharing), *musharakah* (partnership). The development of equity partnership instruments in the financial system necessitates a different set of regulation and institutions in order to achieve Islamic goal through economic/financial activities.

Research limitations/implications – This paper opines that the current framework of financial institutions does not match with the nature of Islamic contracts.

Practical implications – This paper suggests that a new framework for financial institutions is necessary in order to accomplish the *maqasid-al-shariah*, by implementing the true spirit of cooperative through various Islamic contracts. Consequently, the rules and regulations and other relevant elements also need to adjust.

Originality/value – The paper indicates a possible different consequence on the link between finance and growth in the presence of Islamic contracts, i.e. a more positive relation.

Keywords Law, Islam, Finance, Company profit sharing schemes

Paper type Conceptual paper

1. Introduction

Law and finance, as argued is a set of contracts. These contracts are defined – and made more or less effective – by legal rights and enforcement mechanisms. From this perspective, a well-functioning legal system facilitates the operation of both markets and intermediaries. The operation would overall affect the level and quality of financial services – which finally improve the efficient allocation of resources and economic growth.

This has motivated Levine *et al.* (2000) and Beck and Levine (2002) to examine the different legal and accounting standards among country and their effects to financial intermediaries' development[1]. By categorizing countries into four legal families; French, English, German, and Scandinavian (descended from Roman law), Levine *et al.* (2000) use the differences in the legal origin that cover the legal rules on secured



creditors, the efficiency of contract enforcement and the quality of the accounting standards and find that the financial intermediaries' developments are connected to the legal and regulatory characteristics defining intermediary's activities.

Then, Beck and Levine (2002) do some experiments on the judicial efficiency by assessing the efficiency and integrity of the legal environment, produced by the country-risk rating agency Business International Corporation. The rating is average over 1980-1983 and ranges from one to ten, with higher numbers indicating higher level of judicial efficiency. The correlations indicate that judicial efficiency is positively correlated with finance aggregate and structure aggregate[2] and negatively correlated with state ownership. The finding indicates that countries with more efficient legal systems experience higher level of financial development and are more market-based system but are less state ownership bank[3].

Although, Islamic law has been in existence for more than 1,400 years, but its implementation have been subjected to the willingness of the rulers in the passage of history and civilization. Although, the study on financial contracts has been extensively reviewed, the role of Islamic contracts is not highlighted, except those in the historical institutional and contract theory literatures. For instance, a few of them such as paper done by González de Lara (2001) which discusses risk sharing in financial contracts with focus on the sea-loan (debt-based) contract and *commenda* (equity-based or *mudharabah*) contract. Whereas the *Mejelle Ahkame Adliye*[4] was elaborated between 1869 and 1876 as a part of the legislative purpose of the *Tanzimat*, initiated in imperial Turkey, with the approval of Sultan has been in the market since the late of nineteenth century but not implemented due to colonial era which forced the colonized countries to follow their laws, e.g. common law in Malaysia and Dutch law in Indonesia.

Since, Islamic teachings cover all dimensions of human life includes guiding the relations among people as individual and as a communal in various aspects such as economic, social, cultural and political. Accordingly Muslim individual cannot compartmentalize his/her behaviour into religious and secular dimensions as he/she is always asked to be bound by *shari'ah*. Islamic law thus embodies an encompassing set of duties and practices including worship, prayer, manners and morals, marriage, inheritance, crime and commercial transactions: that is, it embraces many aspects that would not necessarily be considered as law elsewhere (Lewis and Alagaoud, 2001, p. 16). Based on this fact therefore Islamic teachings on transactions may have a strong distinctive character in the economy provided that they are implemented properly taken into account all necessary spirit, rules, regulations, and institutions.

The fact that under Islamic law on transactions there are various types of contracts, from contract of sales and purchases, contract of usufructs such as leasing, contract of sharecropping, partnerships and equity participation, and Islamic banking establishments, emerged significantly in the last three decades, are permitted to adopt all these contract types, then it is logical to classify Islamic banks into a universal banking institutions.

The objective of this paper is to discuss Islamic laws which are relevant to finance. Most importantly the aspect of contracts as foundation for the distinctive Islamic financial products, i.e. the one resembling profit-loss sharing nature which contains cooperative spirit, will be analysed to establish a strong connection with financial stability as pre-requisite to achieve the economic growth. It is believed in this paper that embarking from cooperative spirit, through developing equity partnership instruments in the financial system, will be able to create a more sound value added in the economy, so that higher economic growth and more equitable distribution of resources can be achieved simultaneously.

The discussion of this paper will be divided into six sections. Section 2 contains prior studies in the area of finance and growth. Section 3 exposes Islamic law covering origin and financial contracts. Further discussion on legal right and protection under Islamic finance is contained in section 4 which includes right and protection, mechanism of corporate governance, and *shari'ah* Advisory Council (SAC). Section 5 is dedicated to describe the practices of Islamic contracts at a glance. The paper will be concluded in section 6.

2. Prior studies

The study that link finance and growth takes many dimensions. One of the dimensions is law and finance view. In the beginning, the studies that link the former only look at the finance variables and economic variables. It can be seen from the following studies. King and Levine (1993a, b) use cross-country regressions to show that the level of intermediation at the start of the period is positively related to the rate of economic growth during the period. King and Levine's work is part of a rapidly developing literature on intermediation and growth which includes Saint-Paul (1992), Pagano (1993), Cetorelli (1997), Levine and Zervos (1998), Rajan and Zingales (1998), and Cetorelli and Gambera (1999), among others.

Later researchers have expanded further to look at the role of balance sheet of both banks and firms. Both are known as bank's balance sheet view and firm's balance sheet view, respectively. From the former view, authors like Bernanke and Gertler (1995) propose that, under tightened monetary policy, banks adjust their stock of loans by reducing the maturity of loan originations and reallocate short-term loan supply from small firms to large firms. This reallocation is known as "flight to quality". They show that bank lending channel works through changes in loan maturity and balance sheet channel works through reallocation of short-term loan supply. We interpret this as evidence supporting existence of a bank lending channel since one of the links in the chain of causality behind the bank lending channel is that after a monetary contraction, bank lend less.

However, the firm's balance sheet view is against the bank's balance sheet view. They argue, as originally proposed by Robinson (1952) that "where firm leads finance follows" is frequently quoted. In other words, as quoted by Koetter and Wedow (2006), financial services are provided as a reaction to the demand by corporate firms, that is finance follows entrepreneurial activity. The statement is explicit in claiming that financial development takes place endogeneously in meeting the demand of expanding real economy. Support for Robinson's view could be found in the works of Friedman and Schwartz (1963) and more recently in the works of Claessens and Laeven (2003).

Furthermore, Bernanke and Gertler (1989, 1995) provide an argument on the connection between the firm's balance sheet and business cycle, which is partly due to the movement of capital stock component[5]. They predict an accelerator effect of financial fragility on investment, for instance a negative shock to productivity today decreases the current income of the entrepreneur, then it causes future agency costs to increase and hence future investment and production depress. Empirical evidences on the relevance of firm's balance sheet, as reported in Kiyotaki and Moore (1997), indicates that investment is sensitive to financial factors. This especially so, during a downturn, where in this respect Bernanke and Gertler (1989) argue that the proportion of credit advanced to the borrowing firms with low agency costs increases. This phenomena is known as flight to quality.

Therefore, both views come up two propositions, i.e. "finance leads investment" and "firm leads finance". However, the former proposition leads us to expand our view to

the role of capital market. The role can be seen clearly in Beck *et al.* (2000b). They argue that, less-dependent bank, due to, for instance, expensive cost to extract firm information might cause firms to face higher charge from bank and hence might reduce firms' incentive to take more profitable projects (with higher risk level). The proponent of this view also indicates the weaknesses of bank's balance sheet view in that banking system might impede innovation and growth. Therefore, the proponent of firm's balance sheet view is aimed at: reducing inefficiencies connected with bank's balance sheet view and facilitating new firms creation and hence the investment to grow.

More important than that whether the legal system could provide good enforcement and protection to investors. Hence, the impact will be on the greater financial development. The following studies would give the answer.

González de Lara (2000) advances that in Late-medieval Venice institutional arrangements that enhanced the State's ability to verify information expanded the set of contracts that the Venetian State could enforce and enabled the transition from the *sea loan* (a debt-like contract) to the *commenda* (an equity-like contract). This implied a better allocation of risk and, arguably, further mobilization of capital. The paper also shows that the *sea loan* and the *commenda* contracts sustained the optimal allocation of risk given their underlying institutional foundations. Moreover, the enhanced State's ability to verify information supported the first-best allocation of risk, which was attained by letting the merchant finance part of the venture and raising additional funds through *commenda* contracts. Thus, the paper provides a rationale for the efficiency and observed co-existence of debt and equity, as well as for the participation of merchants-entrepreneurs to the funding of their own ventures (inside equity).

La Porta *et al.* (1997) find that countries with legal environments characterized by "good" investor protection laws have larger and broader capital markets, and thus firms in these countries have more access to external finance. For example, they calculate that the ratio of stock market capitalization (adjusted for insider ownership) to GNP is 60 per cent for English common law countries vs only 21 per cent for French civil law countries. Our research is related to theirs because the legal systems in the transitional economies have been identified as a significant barrier to the development of more advanced systems of financial intermediation.

Along the same lines, Durnev and Kim (2005) use firm-level data from 27 countries to identify corporate governance and disclosure practices. They find that firms with better investment opportunities, concentrated ownership, and greater need for external financing practice, better governance, and that these firms are consequently valued higher. These attributes are stronger in countries with weaker legal systems. Klapper and Love (2003) find similar results in their study of firm-level corporate governance practices across emerging market countries. The authors find that better corporate governance is associated with higher operating performance, with higher Tobin's-Q, and with higher valuations. They also find that firm-level corporate governance provisions matter more in countries with weak legal environments. Analysing the determinants of effective legal institutions and their impact on economic development, Berkowitz *et al.* (2003) analyse the "transplant effect" (the impact of legal systems transplanted from other, more advanced countries) for 49 countries. The authors find that while the transplant effect has no direct impact on economic development, it has a strong, indirect effect via legality (e.g. enforcement). They suggest that the strong path dependence between economic development, legality, and the transplant effect explains why legal assistance that is primarily focused on improving law on the books has little impact on economic development.

However, Rajan and Zingales (2003) argue that factors such as legal origin or culture do not provide a complete explanation for cross-country differences in financial development. In fact, they document a reversal in financial markets from 1913 to 1980 which is inconsistent with pure structural theories of financial development. They suggest an “interest group” theory where incumbents are opposed to financial development because it allows competition. Thus, political forces that are favorable (or unfavorable) to financial development are major factors in determining the development of the banking system. They contend that while many developing countries need institutions, including financial institutions, it may simply be that special interests in the countries are not allowing these institutions to develop. The issue of the development of a viable system of intermediation in the transitional economies raises interesting policy issues. For example, Meltzer (1999) argues that because Russia lacks the rule of law, private property, and a solvent banking system, IMF loans to Russia to promote piecemeal reforms are a waste of money. Furthermore, he points out that financial crises in Indonesia, Korea, Thailand, Malaysia, and Russia all occurred in part because these countries lack a sound banking system.

Cook (1997a, b) reports a low level of intermediation and small business lending in Russia, a conclusion which is consistent with previous research[6]. Similar results have been reported for Poland (Feakins, 1997), the Czech Republic (Mladek, 1997), Hungary (Vajda, 1997), and in general for the 29 transitional economies by Murrell (1996). Most of these studies report that the primary source of working capital for small firms is retained earnings and that inadequate finance is a major constraint on growth. Calvo and Coricelli (1993) suggest that the output collapse in Eastern Europe during the transition resulted, at least in part, from a credit crunch affecting aggregate supply. The problem is partly a legacy of the former centrally planned economies where, as Calvo and Coricelli (1993) report, banks had no incentive to collect firm-specific information about borrowers. A history of government bailouts also creates moral hazard problems by removing the incentive to develop lending standards (Hansson, 1995). Many studies also report the lack of an effective system of bank supervision and regulation in these countries as an obstacle to the development of intermediation (e.g. Hansson, 1995; Rostowski, 1995). A special issue of the *Journal of Banking and Finance* (Hermes and Lensink, 2000) provides an extensive discussion of financial system development in the transitional economies, and Buch (1996) also discusses this issue in detail, but neither provides a comparative analysis of the level of intermediation in the 29 transitional economies. Murrell (1996) and Rapaczynski (1996) also call attention to low levels of banking activity and legal development in the transitional economies, but do not provide comparative intermediation data.

This happens to the former Soviet countries, as reported in McNulty *et al.* (2007), where they relatively exhibit lower levels of financial intermediation than developing countries, and is consistent with findings of previous studies.

3. Islamic law: origin and financial contracts

The discussion in section 2 shows that law plays an important role in developing the finance field. However, the role of Islamic law seems to be left out in the current mainstream discussion in finance. Therefore, the aim of this section is to discuss the basic idea on the differences of law origin, and financial contracts in Islam that may affect the design of financial institutions.

a. Origin of Islamic law

The Muslim scholars have unanimously agreed that, when examining any new subject or incident that has no rule or legal value, the provisions of the primary bases of

Islamic law must be referred to. These are the Quran and the *Sunnah* that includes the Prophet Muhammad's (peace be upon him) words, deeds, and tacit approvals. In a case where there is no equivalent rule provided by these two non-arguable sources, usually Islamic scholars will subsequently resort to the secondary or dependent sources of law, the *Ijma'* (general public consensus), the *Qiyas* (reasoning by analogy), the *Maslahah mursalah* or *Istislah* (reasoning by public interest), the *Istihsan* (preference), the *'Urf* (customs or common practice) and *Sadd al-dhara'i* (blocking the means)[7]. Thus, when a jurist wants to know the status or legal rule of certain issue or problem, he must refer to the primary sources first, according to the order of the sources. Unless he could not find the legal rule or the right solution to the issue concerned, he may then refer to the secondary sources, whichever relevant.

b. Financial contracts

A contract (*'aqd*) is referred to an obligation which arises from a bilateral relationship between two parties. In this context, contract is defined as "the conjunction of an offer emanating from one of the two contracting parties with the acceptance of the other in the manner that affects the subject matter of the contract"[8]. Therefore, a contract is the result of a mutual agreement between the parties irrespective of who initiated the offer and who accepted it.

In addition, for a valid contract to take place in *shari'ah*, certain conditions are to be met. The majority scholars hold that there are three elements of a valid contract namely the form (*sighah*) which comprises of offer (*ijab*) and acceptance (*qabul*); the contracting parties (*al-'aqidayn*) and the subject matter (*al-ma'qud 'alayh*), i.e. the commodities and the considerations (e.g. deposits).

The Muslim scholars consider that a contract is completely concluded if there is concurrence of offer and acceptance representing the wish of the parties to enter into a contract. Since a contract is a bilateral agreement, thus there must be more than one party who are negotiating for the contract, and they must be qualified persons possessing the legal capacity (*ahliyyah*). In addition to that, the subject matter of the contract must be defined properly that leave no ambiguity to the contracting parties as to the nature of the subject matter. Another important requirement to form a contract is the purpose of the contract. As such, the contract must be for good and beneficial purpose according to the *shari'ah*. Consequently, the subject matter of the contract must also be legally acceptable in the eyes of *shari'ah*.

There are two general financial instruments that could be applied in finance, i.e. investment and means of payment. Investment in the form of *mudharabah* is considered as a special form of partnership (*musharakah*) that has been deployed by modern Islamic financial institutions to provide fund management services. In line with the Islamic principles of risk sharing and profit sharing, it is characterized by one party (*rabb al-mal*) entrusting his money to another party (*mudharib*) who is akin to a fund manager and who contributes to the arrangement by providing the necessary experience and management expertise. The *mudharib* will utilize the money in an agreed manner, and will subsequently return the principal and a share of the profit to the *rabb al-mal*, retaining a pre-agreed share of the profit for him.

Some papers observe that the *mudharabah* contract, along with *musharakah* contract which reflects profit-loss sharing characteristic, has a very strong merit in the sense that it relies on the spirit of cooperation. It has been shown that the use of the prevalent alternative method of financier remuneration (i.e. *mudharabah*) will, under certain conditions, lead to an enhanced level of capital investment on account of the

ability of *mudharabah* to act as an efficient revelation device. By applying the ideas developed in the Western contract literature, it is shown that a *mudharabah* contract between a project manager and a syndicate of investors may permit a more efficient revelation of any informational advantage the manager may have over the latter. It has also been emphasized that theoretically, Islamic banks, through PLS financing, should provide capital to dynamic entrepreneurs who have good projects but cannot offer collateral, and so create new business and thus contribute to the community's economic development.

The important principles to note are: the division of profits must be on a pre-agreed proportional basis; if a loss occurs then the *rabb al-mal* will not usually face liability beyond the loss of principal; and the *mudharib's* liability will generally be confined to the loss of his time and effort only.

Mudharabah may be entered into for a single investment or on a continuing basis with the Islamic banks acting as a fiduciary. *Mudharabah* investments may be made for fixed terms and arranged through negotiable instruments (called investment deposit certificates or *Mudharabah* certificates) and in such situations may have characteristics akin to shares.

The uses of financial instruments as mean of payment can be seen from the following definition. For example, Islamic banks act as agent (or *wakeel*) and hence receive fee payment. The common meaning of the word "payment" is "the action, or an act, of paying"; the remuneration of a person with money or its equivalent; the giving of money, etc. The word is also defined as "a sum of money (or other thing) paid; pay, wages; or price". The verb "to pay", from which the noun "payment" is derived, is variously defined as "to give what is due, as for goods received; remunerate; recompense; to give or return as for goods, or services; to give or offer". However, "to pay" have also been construed as meaning "to give money or other equivalent value for; to hand over the price of a (thing); to bear the cost of; to be sufficient to buy or defray the cost of". Thus, although the word payment often connoted an exchange of value for the provision of goods or services, or the provision of value on the occasion of a particular event or condition, it could also encompass bearing the cost. This latter meaning is perhaps the most consistent with the word's use in the context of a provision defining non-performing financings.

4. Legal right and protection under islamic finance

From the discussion in sections 2 and 3 show that laws varies across countries, in part because of differences in legal origin. Hence, how does Islamic law provide legal right to the contracting parties? Or, in specific, does Islamic law provides both *mudharib* and *rabbul-maal* protection? Do the countries (that adopt Islamic law) have other substitute, mechanism of corporate governance? Because, the differences in legal protections of contracting parties might help explain why firms are financed and owned so differently in different countries. Why do firms practically have no excess to external finance? Why is the ownership of firms so widely dispersed (by contract)? The content of legal rules in different countries may shed light on these corporate governance puzzles.

a. Right and protection

The effect of contract can be seen in the rights, obligations, and legal effects. These effects are not one and the same in all contracts, but they vary from one to another. For example, the effects of the contract of sale are the transfer of proprietary rights in things, obligation of the seller to deliver goods, and establishment of his right to

demand the price. The effects of rent are the transfer of proprietary rights in usufruct to the tenant. Thus, we find that these effects differ from one contract to another.

It can be said in general that the following effects accrue from the contracts. First, the owner has the obligations to perform the transfer of proprietary rights in things or usufruct, commutative or non-commutative, such as sale, gift, rent, and borrowing.

Second, obligation of performing a specified work regardless whether this work is the delivery of fungible property which is a debt which a person owes to another, such as contract of loan, or it is some other work, such as the work of a hiring or agent.

Third, arising the right of security as, for example, in pledge, surety and bail, and transfer of debt.

The general rule is that the above effects accrue only from the contract which fulfils the conditions of its constitution and validity, and with which no attribute which requires the Lawgiver's prohibition against it is associated. But some jurists maintain that some of these effects sometimes accrue from a void contract, and it is one with which an attribute required by the Lawgiver's prohibition is associated.

The general principle is that these effects arise and accrue from a contract after its existence. But there are some contracts whose effects keep away from them till permission is attached to them: According to the Muslim jurists, they are called the suspended contracts or contracts in abeyance.

When these effects accrue from the contract, the general rule is that they are binding on both contracting parties. Neither of them can dissociate itself from it. But there are some contracts whose effect accrues from it when both parties grant the unilateral right of cancellation, or one of them does so without the consent of the other party. These are called permissible contracts, or contracts that are not binding.

These effects accrue from the contract by making and taking it into consideration by the lawgiver and the intention of the contracting parties has nothing to do with it. A contract, in the opinion of the Muslim jurists, is a cause of accrual of effects from it. The general rule is that accrual of effects from causes is on account of making, and act of the lawgiver; the intention of the contracting parties has nothing to do with that.

Accordingly, the jurists maintain that every condition which is attached to the contract and deviates from its effects is invalid. They call this condition repugnant or contrary to the essence or nature of the contract. But the conditions that are consistent with the essence or nature of the contract and confirm the effects which accrue from it are permitted according to all jurists, because they strengthen these effects and confirm their execution such as the stipulation of pledge and surety.

There is another set of conditions about which disagreement arose. These are the conditions which neither agree nor disagree with the essence or nature of contract, and set restrictions on the freedom of the contracting parties, regardless whether or not they comprise the benefits of the stipulating party.

The established rule in the *shari'ah* is the obligation of fulfilment of contracts and conditions which do not make an unlawful thing lawful and a lawful thing unlawful. Allah, the exalted, said: "O you, who believe, fulfil the obligations". (5:1) The Prophet (peace be upon him) said: "The believers are bound by their conditions, except a condition which makes an unlawful thing lawful and a lawful thing unlawful". This shows that the contract is the law of the contracting parties as maintained by modern law.

The application of this rule requires that the contracting parties are bound to execute the obligations that accrue from the contract without having the right of modifying it or dissociating from it, except in cases about which specific texts of the *shari'ah* have occurred. If one of the contracting parties does not execute the

obligations which the contract imposes on him of his own accord, the other party can compel him to execute them judicially, as the lawgiver grants this contracting party the right of abstaining from executing the equivalent or opposite obligation or to demand the annulment of the whole contract.

The general rule in Islamic law is, as is the case of positive law that these contracts are not binding on any other than their contracting parties and no one other than the parties can benefit from them. The fulfilment of obligations that result from the contract is not binding on any person other than the parties to it, as no one except them can benefit from the rights established by the contract.

We shall see that there are some cases, in each of the Islamic law and positive law, in which the effects of the contract are traceable to the persons other than the contracting parties. These contracts are called general or particular discrepancy in positive law. These cases are considered to be an exception to the general rule of relativity of contracts with respect to persons.

As for *mudharabah* contract, the contracting parties are the capital provider (*shahib-al-maal*) and the entrepreneur (*mudharib*). The principal obligation of the capital provider is to provide the capital needed by the entrepreneur, whereas the obligation of entrepreneur mainly to manage the capital in his/her business venture/project in a responsible and trustworthily manner so that the business he/she managed capable of creating value added (profit) that will be shared according to the pre-agreed ratio. The capital provider right mainly is to get the profit share or to born the financial loss without having any control in the management of the business/project. Based on this nature *mudharabah* financing usually be called as trusty financing.

In current development of Islamic banking practices, *mudharabah* contract is widely applied in both sides of intermediary function of Islamic banks, i.e. funding and financing sides. In funding side, *mudharabah* deposits, either in saving account or mainly in investment/time deposit account are the major component of bank's deposits. Even though this is quite similar with those in conventional banking system, profit-sharing nature of Islamic bank's deposit has important advantage to the financial system compared to their conventional counterpart[9]. At this juncture we can compare the depositors and the shareholders in the Islamic banking system[10]. Both are similar in providing financial capital to the banks, even much higher proportion for depositors, but the rights of both capital-givers are quite different. Table I exhibits a comparison between depositors and shareholders in Islamic banking.

The existence of *mudharabah* deposits, along with *mudharabah* and *musharakah* financings, under Islamic banking system exposes very different character from that in interest-based deposits. This difference actually has important implication into the financial system, in the sense that it drives the system to be more stable due to a balance between asset and liability sides of the system. In addition, *mudharabah* deposits in fact may be treated as "equity capital" similar to shareholders funds. Based on these reasoning, it is therefore important to consider specific institutional protection mechanism for *mudharabah* depositors, especially if the system allows Islamic banks to co-exist side by side with conventional banks.

As for *mudharabah* financing from the banks' viewpoint it is also important to provide specific enforceable regulation mechanism as a truth revealing devices for the financed parties to avoid any miss-reporting of their business ventures. In this regard Gonzales de-Lara (2001) recorded the experience of the Late Medieval Venice that the existence of state enforcer of contract had been effectively used for risk-sharing contract by venetian merchants. It had driven the shift of contractual agreement from the sea-loan

	Depositors	Shareholders
Contracts	<i>Mudharabah</i>	<i>Musharakah</i>
Contribution	Financial capital as investment deposits	Financial capital as shared capital
<i>Rights</i>		
Rewards	Return on deposits based on pre-agreed profit share	Dividends
Voting	No voting right	Voting rights
Control	No specific control right	Control rights via shareholders general meeting
Obligation	No obligation bounded	Oblige to add capital if deem necessary
Risk	Exposed to all business risk	Exposed to all business risk
Protection	Under deposits insurance scheme only. Need to have a kind of specific protection by providing access to the management	Shareholder protection under the prevailing laws

Table I.
Depositors and
shareholders
comparison in the
Islamic banking
system

contracts (which is debt-based) to the *commenda* contracts (which is profit-loss-sharing based). Applying this spirit into current practices of Islamic banking and finance might drive the implementation of profit-loss-sharing financing more significantly.

b. Mechanism of corporate governance

There are several interesting features in Islamic finance such as equity participation; and risk and profit-loss sharing arrangements. On the one side, an Islamic bank is essentially a partner with its depositors, and also a partner with entrepreneurs, on the other side, when employing depositors' funds in productive direct investment. The depositors also share in the profits according to predetermined ratio, and are rewarded with profit returns for assuming risk.

These financial arrangements imply quite different stockholder relationships, and by corollary governance structures, from the conventional model since depositors have a direct financial stake in the bank's investment and equity participations. As discussed above, the Islamic bank is subject to an additional layer of governance since the suitability of its investment and financing must be in strict conformity with Islamic law and the expectations of the Muslim community. For this purpose, Islamic banks form the SAC.

Therefore, the governance structures are quite different because the Islamic banking institution must obey a different set of rules – those of the *Holy Qur'an* – and meet the expectations of Muslim community by providing Islamically acceptable financing modes. There are two major differences from the conventional framework. First, and foremost, an Islamic banking organization must serve God. It must develop a distinctive corporate culture, the main purpose of which is to create a collective morality and spirituality which, when combined with the production of goods and services, sustains the growth and advancement of the Islamic way of life.

Second, Islamic banks are based on the Islamic legal concepts of *shirkah* (partnership) and *mudharabah* (profit-sharing). An Islamic bank is conceived as financial intermediary mobilizing savings from the public on a *mudharabah* basis and advancing capital to entrepreneurs on the same basis.

The profit-and-loss sharing arrangement operates under the following rules:

- The Islamic bank receives funds from the public on the basis of unrestricted *mudharabah*. There are no restrictions imposed on the Islamic bank concerning

the kind of activity, duration, and location of the enterprise, but the funds cannot be applied to activities which are forbidden by Islam. In this regard, it is necessary to provide some kind of protection to the depositors, which provides similar financial capital to the bank as the shareholders but in much higher proportion, beyond the deposit insurance mechanism because the profit-loss sharing nature of the deposits provide risk-absorbing mechanism by balancing the asset side with the liability side to create a more stable banking system.

- The Islamic bank has the right to aggregate and pool the profit from different investments, and share the net profit (after deducting administrative costs, capital depreciation, and Islamic tax) with depositors according to a specified formula. In the event of losses, the depositors lose a proportional share or the entire amount of their funds. The return to the financier has to be strictly maintained as a share of profits.
- The Islamic bank applies the restricted form of *mudharabah* when funds are provided to entrepreneurs. The Islamic bank has the right to determine the kind of activities, the duration, and location of the projects and monitor the investments. However, these restrictions may not be formulated in a way which harms the performance of the entrepreneur, and the Islamic bank cannot interfere with the management of the investment. Loan covenants and other such constraints usual in conventional commercial bank lending are allowed.
- The Islamic bank cannot require any guarantee such as security and collateral from the entrepreneur in order to insure its capital against the possibility of an eventual loss. This very fact implies that a different mechanism needs to be reconstructed to provide a smooth mechanism of risk mitigation, such as enforcement mechanism to guarantee transparency to prevail that any misreporting of activities can be avoided.
- The liability of the financier is limited to the capital provided. On the other hand, the liability of the entrepreneur is also restricted, but in this case solely to labour and effort employed. Nevertheless, if negligence or mismanagement can be proven, the entrepreneur may be liable for the financial loss and be obliged to remunerate financier accordingly.
- The entrepreneur shares the profit with Islamic bank according to previously agreed division. Until the investment yields a profit, the Islamic bank is able to pay a salary to the entrepreneur based on the ruling market salary.

Many of the same restrictions apply to *musharakah* financing, except that in this instance the losses are borne proportionately to the capital amounts contributed. Thus under these two Islamic modes of financing, the project is managed by the client and not by the Islamic bank, even though the Islamic bank shares the risk. Certain major decisions such as changes in the existing lines of business and the disposition of profits may be subject to the Islamic bank's consent. The Islamic bank, as a partner, has the right to full access to the books and records, and can exercise monitoring and follow-up supervision. Nevertheless, the directors and management of the company retain independence in conducting the affairs of the company.

These conditions give the finance many of the characteristics of non-voting equity capital. From the viewpoint of the entrepreneur, there are no fixed annual payments needed to service the debt as under interest financing, while the financing does not increase the firm's risk in the way that other borrowings do through increased leverage. Conversely,

from the bank's viewpoint, the returns come from profits – much like dividends – and the bank cannot take action to foreclose on the debt should profits no eventuate.

The character of non-voting equity also attaches in the Islamic banking depositors, thereby it is a logical thought to provide the mechanism for depositors to have access to the management of the bank to which they put their financial resources. In addition, this high portion of the bank's funds can be treated as "equity-like capital" that may implicate to a different sort of capital adequacy standard. Again it leads to different set of regulation to consider should the Islamic banking system expected to excel in showing its superiority.

The central to such a framework is the SAC and the internal controls which support it.

c. Shari'ah advisory council

According to the guideline (GPS1) of Islamic banks, the latter is required to set up a SAC to supervise its operations to ensure that those operations comply with the rules of *shari'ah*. The SAC advises the directors on matters pertaining to the operational issues of the Islamic bank. The SAC also takes the views of *shari'ah* Committees of relevant authorities such as Bank Negara Malaysia and Securities Commission from time to time on issues relating to the industry.

Furthermore, for an Islamic bank to be licensed under the IBA act, the bank must satisfy all the conditions under section 3(5) of the IBA act, namely, that the banking business which the bank desires to carry on will not involve any element which is not approved by the principle of *shari'ah* and that there is in the articles of association of the bank provision for the establishment of a SAC, whose functions include advising the bank on the operations of its banking business in order to ensure that they do not involve any element which is not approved by the principles of *shari'ah*. As regards banks under the Islamic banking system, Bank Negara Malaysia has issued guidelines under section 126 of BAFIA which authorizes these banks to offer the same products and services that is offered by the Islamic banks.

Further, the guideline provides that a SAC whose members would be made up of Muslims religious scholars shall be established to advise the bank on the operation of its banking business. The Council shall have a minimum of three and a maximum of seven members, whose appointments shall be acceptable to the relevant government minister for a term not exceeding two years, and each member is eligible for reappointment.

The duties and responsibilities of SSC are to review, appraise, and advise the directors on the operations of the Bank's business in order to ensure that they do not involve any element, which is not approved by Islam. The roles of SSC in monitoring the Bank's activities are as follows:

- (1) review the products and services to ensure conformity with *shari'ah* requirements;
- (2) deliberate on *shari'ah* issues pertaining to the day-to-day operation of the bank and provide advice accordingly;
- (3) form opinions on the operations of the bank on whether they are *shari'ah* compliant; and
- (4) provide training and education on *muamalat* or Islamic transactions based on *shari'ah* principles.

The SAC has the authority to scrutinize and approve any documents used by Islamic banks. Nevertheless, although all transactions including products and services offered by it have to be first approved by the Council in order to ensure that they do not involve

any element which is not approved by Islam, it is open to any interested party to challenge such transactions as being contrary to Islamic law as there is nothing in the IBA act which states that, once an operation has been approved by the bank's SAC, it may not be called into question or reviewed by any court of justice.

In fact, the IBA act itself seems to imply that the approval of an Islamic bank's SAC of any aspect of its operations is not final and conclusive since, under section 11 of IBA act, the Minister of Finance may, on the recommendation of the Central Bank, revoke the license issued to the bank if it is pursuing aims or carrying on operations involving any element not approved by Islam. It seems that the setting up of a *shari'ah* advisory body is a statutory requirement and its function is to ensure that the Islamic bank does not become involved in anything, which is not approved by the *shari'ah*.

In current development of Islamic banking practices whereby product and services need to be diversified and expanded, the role of SAC is not only to scrutinize the activity of the bank to ensure *shari'ah* compliance but also to proactively develop and innovate new products and services hand-in-hand with the bank management. Therefore position of SAC becomes more strategic for accelerating progress of the banks. It may be no longer relevant to just put the SAC as a rubberstamp for *shari'ah* compliance procedure. In this regard the argument whether SAC should be posed as part of the management or independently need a serious consideration for the sake of good corporate governance which is consistent with *shari'ah* principles.

5. The practices of Islamic contracts at a glance

a. Big challenges for Islamic contracts application

Shakespeare and Harahap (2009) assert that Islamic finance and banking certainly endeavours to avoid the appearance of interest by using various legal and administrative device, but it cannot avoid the fact that at the heart of the present system is the creation of interest-bearing money by the banks. In a very fundamental way, therefore, there is a conflict between present reality and Islamic religious injunction. In addition, Amin (2005) boldly highlighted three important aspects contributing to the systemic weaknesses of conventional economic system, namely the interest rate system, the fractional reserve system and the fiat money system. These three have been indicated to be the destabilizing factors for a sound and healthy economic system.

Unfortunately, among these three only one, i.e. interest system can be avoided by Islamic banks, by applying profit and loss sharing system and trade financing mechanism. Meanwhile the other two are unavoidably adopted by Islamic banks. Even though some Islamic economists try to introduce alternatives for those two, for example, 100 per cent reserve system to replace fractional reserve system (Choudhury, 1998) and gold dinar system to replace fiat money system (Meer, 2002), the results and acceptance are yet to be realized.

b. Practical problems on Islamic contract application

Apart from those destabilizing factors, from contractual point of view, Islamic contracts adopted by current Islamic banking system are not perfectly fulfilled as instructed by Islamic teachings. This might be due to difficulties arising from implementing fully *shari'ah* compliance based on *maqasid al shari'ah* and requirements for Islamic banks to compete head to head with conventional banks.

Islamic banks are preferably and ideally required to implement profit and loss sharing financing, through *mudharabah* and *musharakah* contracts due to their inherent merits for example, Al-Omar and Abdel-Haq (1996) emphasize that along with distributive

justice, the rationale for profit-sharing, implemented through PLS financing, also embraces allocative efficiency, economic stability and growth. However applying these two contracts in a significant number will cause risk to rise significantly. In addition, due to the nature of equity participating nature of these two contracts, they might not in line with the prudential rule of legal lending limit, i.e. maximum financing to one specific group. This discussing leads to important implication that the rules, regulations, and supervision, hence the institutional framework, need to be adjusted in order to allow more PLS financing to flourish.

In current practice, Islamic banks employ more on non-profit and loss sharing contracts of financing through *murabahah* and *bay' bithaman 'ajil* (*BBA*) which resemble trade financing. However application of these contracts also create problems such as *shari'ah* compliance fulfilment, some said that they considered as opening the back door to *riba* (Siddiqie, 2006), due to the imperfect mechanism in implementing them. The fact that under *murabahah/BBA* financing, the client can directly dealt with the bank to get financing, i.e. the money, for purchasing purposes is a sign that *shari'ah* compliance is not fully satisfied and many quarters consider this practice similar to the conventional interest-based system. The bank under *murabahah* financing plays a role of seller, after buying the needed good/commodity from the supplier, however the bank actually does not play as a true seller due to the certainty that the good it bought will always be sold. The bank can be considered as only playing a "quasy" seller, due to the lack of risk of unsold goods, as it is common to traders. This line of explanation leads to imply that a true trade financing needs to be redressed to follow the *shari'ah*-based instructions, and the implication will also touch upon the appropriate institutional framework for Islamic banking establishment.

c. Islamic contracts in current practices

Islamic contracts currently used by financial institutions, especially Islamic banking, are varied among the adopting countries. To provide the illustration, Table II shows the

Malaysia	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
<i>Bai Bithaman Ajil</i>	48.3	49.1	47.7	49.9	40.1
<i>Ijarah Thumma Al-Bai</i>	22.2	23.3	27.6	–	–
<i>Istisna</i>	0.9	1.3	0.6	1.2	0.9
<i>Murabahah</i>	7.0	7.3	6.2	7.0	6.9
<i>Musharakah</i>	1.4	0.7	0.5	0.5*	0.3*
<i>Ijarah</i>	4.3	3.0	1.4	24.0	31.6
Others	15.9	15.3	16.0	17.4	19.6
Indonesia	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<i>Musharakah</i>	5.5	11.1	12.5	11.4	15.8
<i>Mudharabah</i>	14.4	17.9	20.5	19.9	20.0
<i>Murabahah</i> Receivables	71.5	66.5	62.3	61.7	59.2
<i>Istisna</i> Receivables	5.4	2.7	1.8	1.6	1.3
<i>Qard</i>	–	0.9	0.8	1.2	1.9
<i>Ijarah</i>	–	0.9	2.1	4.1	1.8
Others	3.2	–	–	–	–

Table II.
Financing concept of
Islamic banking in
Malaysia and Indonesia
(per cent total financing)

Sources: Bank Indonesia, Annual Report on Syari'ah Banking, 2004-2007; Bank Negara Malaysia, Annual Report 2001-2005; Tohirin *et al.* (2009)

composition of financing based on the contract types used by Islamic banking industries in the two neighbouring countries, Malaysia and Indonesia.

The figures in Table II show different variation of financing contracts. The similarity can be observed that the non-profit and loss sharing financing (non *musharakah* and *mudharabah* financing) is quite dominant over profit and loss sharing financing, with Malaysia relies very much on *BBA* and *Ijarah*, meanwhile Indonesia relies very much on *murabahah*. However, the most significant different can be seen on *mudharabah* and *musharakah* financing at which Malaysian Islamic banking industry seem to be outperformed by Indonesian counterpart (see also Table III for a closer look on this matter).

More specifically, Table IV exhibits the implementation of profit and loss sharing financing of Islamic banking industry in countries such as Indonesia, Iran, Malaysia, Pakistan, and Sudan with different accomplishment. Indonesia, Pakistan, and Sudan perform quite well as compared to Malaysia and Iran. These different figures imply many things such as different legal aspect/rules and regulations, different orientation, different interpretation on Islamic laws on transaction, different institutional frameworks, etc. The general tendency on the practice of Islamic contracts through banking is that the profit and loss sharing financing is still less favourable than the non-profit and loss sharing financing, either *murabahah*, *BBA*, *Ijarah*, or *Istisna* and so on.

Meanwhile Table III shows comparison on PLS financing in Malaysia and Indonesia which shows very different performance. This difference might be attributed to some factors such as legal aspect, in this regards comparing the Malaysian Islamic Banking Act and Indonesian Islamic Banking Act (the latest one is in 2008) might explain the difference. Another factor is different financial structures which might also contribute the different result. In this regards, for example, in Indonesia there three levels of (Islamic) banking institutions, (Islamic) commercial banks, (Islamic) rural banking institutions, and (Islamic) micro finance institutions, such as *Baitul Maal wa Tamwil* (*BMT*). The presence of this structure is very conducive and supportive in achieving high percentage of PLS financing by establishing networking among these three types

Month	Malaysia			Indonesia		
	<i>Musharakah</i>	<i>Mudharabah</i>	Total	<i>Musharakah</i>	<i>Mudharabah</i>	Total
April 2007	0.3	0.2	0.5	12.8	20.3	33.1
May	0.3	0.2	0.4	14.3	20.2	34.6
June	0.3	0.2	0.5	14.3	20.4	34.7
July	0.2	0.1	0.4	14.3	20.5	34.8
August	0.2	0.1	0.4	15.1	20.4	35.5
September	0.2	0.1	0.4	15.4	20.5	35.9
October	0.3	0.4	0.6	15.2	20.5	35.7
November	0.3	0.4	0.7	16.1	20.5	36.6
December	0.4	0.1	0.6	15.8	20.0	35.7
January 2008	0.5	0.1	0.6	16.6	20.5	37.1
February	0.5	0.1	0.6	17.0	20.1	37.1
March	0.6	0.2	0.8	17.6	19.7	37.2
April	0.7	0.2	0.9	17.4	19.7	37.1
May	0.7	0.2	0.9	18.1	19.3	37.4
Average	0.4	0.2	0.6	15.7	20.2	35.9

Table III.
Malaysia-Indonesia
comparison on profit
and loss sharing
financing (per cent of
total financing)

Sources: BNM Monthly Statistics, March and May 2008; Bank Indonesia, Syariah Banking Statistics, several editions; Ismail *et al.* (2008)

PLS financing	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<i>Indonesia</i>							
Musharakah			5.5	11.1	12.5	11.4	15.8
Mudharabah			14.4	17.9	20.5	19.9	20.0
Total			19.9	29.0	33.0	31.3	35.8
<i>Iran</i>							
Total ^a		2.5	2.1	2.4	1.8	3.3	
<i>Malaysia</i>							
Musharakah	1.4	0.7	0.5	0.5 ^b	0.3 ^b		0.3 ^c
Mudharabah							0.2 ^c
Total	1.4	0.7	0.5	0.5 ^b	0.3 ^b		0.5 ^c
<i>Pakistan</i>							
Dim Musharakah							17.7
Musharakah							9.4
Mudharabah							0.3
Total							27.4
<i>Sudan</i>							
Musharakah					30.8	20.4	
Mudharabah					4.2	5.3	
Total					35.0	25.6	

Notes: ^aThe figures represent “direct investment and legal partnership”. Facilities extended by banks are based upon the Usury-free Banking law (excluding direct investment and legal partnership), debt purchase and machinery and housing units transacted under Islamic contracts; ^bcombined with *mudharabah*; ^caveraged from April-December 2007 only

Sources: Bank Indonesia, Report on Syariah Banking Development, 2004-2007; Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Annual Report, 2006; Bank Negara Malaysia, Annual Report, 2001-2005; State Bank of Pakistan, Islamic Banking Bulletin, September-December 2007; Central Bank of Sudan, Annual Report, 2006; Ismail *et al.* (2008)

Table IV.
Profit and loss sharing
financing of Islamic
banking in several
countries (per cent of
total financing)

of financial institutions. In Malaysia, similar structure and networking might be not as strong as those in Indonesia.

In the implementation of PLS financing, Hidayati *et al.* (2008) observed that Islamic banks deciding to apply PLS financing have direct interests in encouraging good managerial practices in the business of its customers. Accordingly, incentive-based-view (IBV) approach in dealing with the performance of PLS financing may have the weaknesses should it were to apply in PLS financing. This is because IBV tends to focus too heavily on the use of collateral instead of the screening process (feasibility study). In addition, some writers argue that using IBV, the possibility of spill-over effect may exist.

They further argued that there are some advantages using IBV and knowledge-based view (KBV) simultaneously in achieving PLS financing performance. The roles of control systems are not only limited in reducing the negative behaviour of Islamic bank or the customer but also in raising Islamic bank knowledge and capabilities. Using KBV, organizational capabilities become important factor in dealing with Islamic bank performance especially PLS financing performance. Therefore, this perspective may have a consequence that the roles of management control system in Islamic bank become much more important for dealing with negative behaviour of the manager of Islamic bank as well as to increase Islamic bank capabilities.

d. Preliminary empirical evidence on finance and growth: Islamic contracts

One way to look at the relation between finance and economic growth is by measuring the ratio between financing and deposits of Islamic banking industry. The financing-deposit ratio (FDR) tells us how effective and efficient banking industry manages their funds to be extended into financing. The financing provided by Islamic banks will transform financial resources into financial capital required to produce goods and services in the real economic activities, and hence it will drive output, income, and growth. In this line of reasoning FDR can be considered as a measure of how close the relation between finance and real economy, in this regards between banking industry and real sector economy.

Table V is an exhibit of intermediary indicator of Islamic banking institutions in Malaysia and Indonesia. The figures in Table V show that Islamic banking contributes positively to support the activities in the real sector economy. It is expected that the financing extended by Islamic banking will drive more economic activities to generate and produce output and employment and hence the economic growth can be enhanced. Comparison between Malaysia and Indonesia shows that Indonesian Islamic banking industry accomplished higher FDR, consistently above 95 per cent during period of 2003-2008, than that of Malaysia, between 60 and 80 per cent during 2001-2005.

The different figures of FDR between Malaysia and Indonesia might be due to some reasons. Malaysian Islamic banking establishment started earlier, in 1983, than that in Indonesia, in 1992. As a result, in Malaysia, Islamic money and capital markets have been experiencing significant progress so that more Islamic instruments become available for Islamic banks to invest in portfolio investment. At the same time it is very beneficial for managing liquidity of Islamic banks. Meanwhile in Indonesia the instrument in Islamic money and capital market is still very restricted.

The FDR figures for Indonesia, as shown in Table V, indicate important implication that the link between Islamic finance (banking) and real economy is very close, in the sense that almost all deposits accumulated by Islamic banking are transformed into financing to support real economic activities. This also shows the effectiveness and efficiency of managing funds and intermediary function of Islamic banking. As Shakespeare and Harahap (2009) observed that in Indonesia a quite contrast figure is resulted from comparing FDR in Islamic banking industry and loan to deposits ratio (LDR) in conventional banking industry. The FDR as shown in Table V is on average close to 100 per cent, meanwhile the LDR is at around 40 per cent. This very interesting fact implies at least one thing from contractual point of view. Islamic banking industry, which applies various Islamic contracts, has the potential to perform better than conventional banking system, which relies very much on the interest-based mechanism. To accomplish better performance, it requires many adjustments in terms of rules, regulations, supervision, institutional framework, etc. It is also important to change the mindset of the customers to have preference on Islamic banking services by educating and advocating them.

Table V.
Intermediary indicators
of Islamic banking
institutions in
Malaysia and Indonesia
(indicated by FDR)

FDR	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Malaysia	60.1	69.1	80.7	79.4	80.3			
Indonesia			96.60	98.10	99.39	98.90	99.76	103.64

Source: BNM Annual Report, 2006; Bank Indonesia, Syariah Banking Statistics, several editions

6. Conclusions

Islamic law has been in existence for more than fourteen centuries however, it has been ups-and-down in the implementation through historical development due to many causes. Even for laws related to finance, such as profit-loss-sharing mechanism which was well-known as *commenda*, they have been there before the birth of Islamic era. Based on the Islamic teachings on transactions which have a strong distinctive character of non-*ribawi*, Islamic laws and finance is believed to expose a more sound and healthy economy provided they are implemented properly taken into account all necessary spirit, rules, regulations, and institutions.

The fact that under Islamic law on transactions there are various types of contracts, from contract of sales and purchases, contract of usufructs such as leasing, contract of sharecropping, partnerships, and equity participation. Islamic banking and finance establishments are endowed with a precious set of law derived from the divine sources of *al Qur'an* and *al hadith*. It is a huge challenge to implement Islamic laws and finance as Islamic as possible due to its universal positive impact on the welfare of the human beings.

Distinctive character of Islamic banking and finance relies mostly on the profit and loss sharing mechanism which contains the cooperative spirit needed by human beings as altruistic creatures. This mechanism is carried out through several types of contracts such as *mudharabah* (profit-sharing), *musharakah* (partnership), and *muzara'ah* (sharecropping). In addition there are many type of contracts of non-profit and loss sharing, such as *murabahah*, *bay' bithaman 'ajil*, *istisna*, *ijarah*, *kafalah*, etc.

This paper has been discussing that departing from cooperative spirit, the development of equity partnership instruments in the financial system necessitates a different set of regulation and institutions in order to achieve Islamic goal through economic/financial activities. For instance, it has been argued that application *mudharabah* deposits product in Islamic banking needs some sort of modification on the institutional aspect to allow depositors a limited access to the management of the bank. It is aimed at balancing the willingness of depositors to share the bank's business venture, i.e. profit and loss, and their role as a "quasy" shareholder due to their financial capital contribution. A model like "non-voting" right may be considered to develop.

Meanwhile for the application of *mudharabah* and *musharakah* in financing side of the bank, it has also been argued that some sort of institutional change be needed. This change aims at providing more enforcement to transparency of conducting business especially involving profit and loss sharing financing scheme. This is to prevent any deceptive practices such as miss-reporting from occurrence that may cost financial provider (*shahibul maal*) of unfair financial profit/loss. The rules, regulations and supervision mechanism should also be rearranged in order to provide a more conducive environment to implement more PLS financing.

In implementing trade financing, modification will be necessary to establish a true role of trader for Islamic financial institutions/banks, by allowing them to face the risk of seller, i.e. unsold risk. It directs to the nature of *shari'ah* based contracts to be satisfied.

At last, those conclusions lead to an urgent agenda to revisit the institutional setting/framework for Islamic banking and finance institutions, to have more appropriate institutional format which is compatible with the nature of Islamic contracts and consistent with the *maqasid al-shari'ah*.

Notes

1. The intermediaries development were measured on the followings: restrict (regulations restricting banks from engaging in securities market activities, insurance, real estate

transactions, and owning non-financial firm), state ownership, finance aggregate, judiciary efficiency, and industry elasticity. See, Beck and Levine (2002, pp. 162-3) for the result of the analysis.

2. Finance aggregate is the first principal component of finance activity [log (total value on the private sector as share of GDP)]: focuses on government ownership of banks and structure aggregate provides a measure of the comparative role of banks and markets in the economy.
3. As shown by La Porta *et al.* (1998) which examines legal rules covering protection of corporate shareholders and creditors, the origin of these rules and the quality of their enforcement. The result shows that common-law countries generally have the strongest, French civil-law countries is the weakest, legal protections of investors, and German and Scandinavian located in the middle.
4. The Penal Code of 1850 and the Commercial Code of 1861 were the predecessors of the Mejlle but these two compilations had been largely based on the laws of European countries. The Mejlle represents an attempt to codify that part of *Hanafi fiqh* which treats of *muamalat* (transactions between people). The codification was the work of a Commission of Jurists, headed by Ahmad Djevdet Pasha, the Minister of Justice.
5. Florio (2006) provides a good survey on this connection.
6. See Cook (1997b, pp. 1, 8-9). Boyco *et al.* (1993) report that, for all practical purposes, Russian companies have no access to external finance and sell at about 100 times less than Western companies with comparable assets. See also La Porta *et al.* (1997, pp. 1131).
7. There are a few other secondary sources that scholars rarely resort to, they are, *Sharf man qablana* (the divine rules of other Prophets) and *Madhhab al-sahabi* (words and deeds of the companions of the Prophet).
8. Refer to the Mejlle (Art. 103) (2001).
9. In term of stability of financial system, profit-sharing deposits are much better scheme to build a more sound and healthy financial system.
10. See Tohirin (2004).

References

- Al-Omar, F. and Abdel-Haq, M. (1996), *Islamic Banking: Theory, Practice and Challenges*, Oxford University Press, Karachi.
- Amin, A.R. (2005), *The Satanic Finance*, Celestial Publishing, Jakarta.
- Beck, T. and Levine, R. (2002), "Industry growth and capital allocation: does having a market- or bank-based system matter?", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 64 No. 2, pp. 147-80.
- Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A. and Levine, R. (2000a), "A new database on financial development and structure", *World Bank Economic Review*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 597-605.
- Beck, T., Levine, R. and Loyaza, N. (2000b), "Finance and the sources of growth", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 58, pp. 261-300.
- Berkowitz, D., Pistor, K. and Richard, J.F. (2003), "Economic development, legality, and the transplant effect", *European Economic Review*, Vol. 47, pp. 165-95.
- Bernanke, B.S. and Gertler, M. (1989), "Agency costs, net worth, and business fluctuations", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 79 No. 1, pp. 14-31.
- Bernanke, B.S. and Gertler, M. (1995), "Inside the black box: the credit channel of monetary policy transmission", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 27-48.

- Boyco, M., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R.W. (1993), "Privatizing Russia", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, pp. 139-92.
- Buch, C. (1996), "Creating efficient banking systems", in *Theory and Evidence from Eastern Europe*, JCB Mohr, Tubingen.
- Calvo, G. and Coricelli, F. (1993), "Output collapse in Eastern Europe: the role of credit", *IMF Staff Papers*, Vol. 40, March, pp. 32-52.
- Cetorelli, N. (1997), "The role of credit market competition on lending strategies and on capital accumulation", working paper, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
- Cetorelli, N. and Gambera, M. (1999), "Banking market structure, financial dependence and growth: evidence from industry data", working paper, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
- Claessens, S. and Laeven, L. (2003), "Financial development, property rights, and growth", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 58, pp. 2401-36.
- Cook, L.D. (1997a), "Three essays on internal and external credit markets in Post Soviet and Tsarist Russia", unpublished PhD dissertation, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA.
- Cook, L.D. (1997b), "Trade finance, capital market imperfections and corporate investment: the case of small enterprises in Russia", *Proceedings of "Entrepreneurship in the Transition Economies of Central and Eastern Europe" Conference*, Stern School of Business, New York University, New York, NY.
- Durnev, A. and Kim, E.H. (2005), "To steal or not to steal: firm attributes, legal environment, and valuation", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 60 No. 3, pp. 1461-93.
- Feakins, M. (1997), "Structures governing the process of bank lending to small entrepreneurial firms in Poland", *Proceedings of "Entrepreneurship in the Transition Economies of Central and Eastern Europe" Conference*, Stern School of Business, New York University, New York, NY.
- Florio, A. (2006), "The asymmetric effects of monetary policy in a matching model with a balance sheet channel", *Journal of Macroeconomics*, Vol. 28, pp. 375-90.
- González de Lara, Y. (2000), "Institutions for contract enforcement and risk-sharing from debt to equity in Late Medieval Venice", working paper, Department of Economics, University of Alicante, San Vicente del Raspeig.
- González de Lara, Y. (2001), "Enforceability and risk-sharing in financial contracts: from the Sea Loan to the Commenda in Late Medieval Venice", *Journal of Economic History*, Vol. 61 No. 2, pp. 500-4.
- Hansson, A. (1995), "Reforming the banking system in Estonia", in *Rostowski*, pp. 142-65.
- Hermes, N. and Lensink, R. (2000), "Financial system development in transition economies", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 24 (special issue), pp. 507-664.
- Hudayati, A., Achmad, T. and Ismail, A.G.B. (2008), "Performance of profit-loss sharing financing in Islamic banking: knowledge-based view vs incentive-based view", *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop On Islamic Economics: Exploring the Islamic Economics Theory*, jointly organised by Center for Islamic Economics Development and Studies (P3EI), Graduate Program of Faculty of Economics, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, and Islamic Economics and Finance Research Group (EKONIS), School of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, in Faculty of Economics, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, 11-12 August.
- Ismail, A.G.B., Achmad, T., Hudayati, A., and Noraziah, C.A. (2008), "Revisiting Malaysia Islamic banking practices with special reference to profit-loss sharing financing", *Proceedings of the 3rd National Seminar on Malaysia Economy. National Economy Endurance:*

Consolidation of Policy and Strategy, School of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, 20-22 August.

- King, R. and Levine, R. (1993a), "Finance and growth: schumpeter might be right", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 63, pp. 717-38.
- King, R. and Levine, R. (1993b), "Finance, entrepreneurship and growth", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 32, pp. 513-42.
- Kiyotaki, N. and Moore, J. (1997), "Credit cycles", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 105 No. 2, pp. 211-48.
- Klapper, L.F. and Love, I. (2003), "Corporate governance, investor protection, and performance in emerging markets", *Journal of Corporate Finance*, Vol. 195, pp. 1-26.
- Koetter, M. and Wedow, M. (2006), "Finance and growth in a bank-based economy: is it quantity or quality that matters?", Discussion Paper Series 2: Banking and Financial Studies No. 02 Deutsche Bundesbank, Frankfurt am main.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. (1997), "Legal determinants of external finance", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 52, July, pp. 1131-50.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. (1998), "Law and finance", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 106, pp. 1113-55.
- Levine, R. and Zervos, S. (1998), "Stock markets, banks and economic growth", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 88, June, pp. 537-58.
- Levine, R., Loayza, N. and Beck, T. (2000), "Financial intermediation and growth: causality and causes", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 46, pp. 31-77.
- Lewis, M.K. and Algaoud, L.M. (2001), *Islamic Banking*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham and Northampton, MA.
- McNultya, J.E., Harper, J.T., and Pennathur, A.K.K. (2007), "Financial intermediation and the rule of law in the transitional economies of Central and Eastern Europe", *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 47, pp. 55-68.
- Meera, A.K.M. (2002), *The Islamic Gold Dinar*, Pelanduk Publication, Subang Jaya, Selangor.
- (The) Mejelle (Art. 103) (2001), translated by Tyser, C.R., Demetriades, D.G. and Ismail, H.E., The Other Press, Kuala Lumpur.
- Meltzer, A.H. (1999), "Recent crises in post-crisis perspective", *Proceedings of Bank Structure and Competition Conference*, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
- Milton Friedman, M. and Schwartz, A.J. (1963), "Money and business cycles", *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp. 32-64.
- Mladek, J. (1997), "Impediments to the creation and growth of small and medium sized enterprises in the Czech Republic", *Proceedings of "Entrepreneurship in the Transition Economies of Central and Eastern Europe" Conference*, Stern School of Business, New York University, New York, NY.
- Murrell, P. (1996), "How far has the transition progressed?", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 10, Spring, pp. 25-44.
- Pagano, M. (1993), "Financial markets and growth: an overview", *European Economic Review*, Vol. 37, pp. 613-22.
- Rajan, R.G. and Zingales, L. (1998), "Financial dependence and growth", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 88, June, pp. 559-86.
- Rajan, R.G. and Zingales, L. (2003), "The great reversals: the politics of financial development in the twentieth century", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 69, pp. 5-50.
- Rapaczynski, A. (1996), "The roles of the state and the market in establishing property rights", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 10, pp. 87-103.

-
- Robinson, J. (1952), *The Rate of Interests and Other Essays*, Macmillan, London.
- Rostowski, J. (1995), "The banking system credit and the real sector in transition economies", in Rostowski, J. (Ed.), *Banking Reform in Central Europe and the Former Soviet Union*, New York, Oxford Economic Press, New York, NY, pp. 16-41.
- Saint-Paul, G. (1992), "Technological choice, financial markets and economic development", *European Economic Review*, Vol. 36, pp. 763-81.
- Shakespaear, R. and Harahap, S.S. (2009), "The comparative role of banking in binary and Islamic economy", *Humanomics*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 142-62.
- Tohirin, A. (2004), "Mudharabah contracts: comparing depositors and shareholders in Islamic banking system", in Muda, M. et al. (Eds), *Proceedings of the National Seminar in Islamic Banking & Finance (iBAF)*, Faculty of Economics and Muamalat, Islamic University College of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Tohirin, A. and Ataina, H. (2009), "Pembiayaan bagi hasil dan daya saing perbankan syariah Indonesia", *Proceedings of the 4th Scientific Conference*, the Indonesian Student Association at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi Campus, Selangor, 17 January.
- Tohirin, A., Ismail, A.G.b. and Ataina, H. (2009), "Perkembangan perbankan Islam di Malaysia dan Indonesia", paper presented at the International Seminar on Malaysia-Indonesia Economic Relations, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, 14 April.
- Vajda, A. (1997), "Micro-entrepreneurs and the microcredit program in Hungary", *Proceedings of "Entrepreneurship in the Transition Economies of Central and Eastern Europe" Conference*, Stern School of Business, New York University, New York, NY.

Corresponding author

Achmad Tohirin can be contacted at: achmad@fe.uii.ac.id