

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI  
ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul artikel : The Influence of Product Price on Consumers' Purchasing Decisions  
Jurnal : Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER), Vol. 7(s2), 328-337.  
Penulis : Albari\* and Indah Safitri

Nomor	Perihal	Tanggal
1.	Bukti konfirmasi penerimaan manuscip artikel oleh redaksi jurnal	09 Maret 2018
2.	Bukti konfirmasi resubmit artikel, artikel yang diresubmit, dan publishing agreement	30 Maret 2018
3.	Bukti konfirmasi LoA dan info penerbitan	14 &16 April 2018
4.	Bukti penerbitan -- journal home & indexed, editorial board, daftar isi, artikel dimuat	April 2018

1. Bukti konfirmasi penerimaan manuscip artikel oleh redaksi jurnal (09 Maret 2018)

---

## k18-165 SIBR Manuscript accepted for RIBER

1 pesan

---

**Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER)** <riber@buscompress.com>

9 Maret 2018 08.54

Kepada: Isafitri78@gmail.com, 883110103@uii.ac.id

Dear Author(s),

SIBR Paper ID: k18-165

SIBR Paper title: The Role of Product Price on Decision of Consumers Purchase

Thank you for presenting your paper at the SIBR Conference. The anonymous reviewer commented that your manuscript is relevant to the aim and scope of RIBER and is a creative addition to interdisciplinary research. Based on my reading of your manuscript, I agree with the reviewer's comments, and therefore I am pleased to accept your manuscript for publication at RIBER.

To publish your manuscript at a forthcoming issue of the journal, you are cordially invited to follow the steps at the following submission website:  
<http://buscompress.com/sibr-paper-1.html>

### IMPORTANT NOTES:

(1) The deadline of submission is 30th March 2018. Your paper will be considered as "withdrawn" if we do not receive your submission by the deadline.

(2) Please cite at least one article previously published at RIBER  
(<http://buscompress.com/view-articles.html>)

(3) RIBER rejects articles with excessive grammatical and spelling errors. If English is not your first language, please request an English-speaking colleague or a professional copy editor to proof read your article. Some examples of professional copy editors are:

<http://www.kgsupport.com/>

<http://www.ahediting.com/en/>

(Disclaimer: RIBER has NO any relationship or collaboration with the above editing service providers. You can use other professional copy editors with reliable quality. Please do not rely on the grammar checking function of MSWord)

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael K Fung, Editor  
Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research  
ISSN: 2304-1013 (online); 2304-1269 (CD); 2414-6722 (Print)

2. Bukti konfirmasi resubmit artikel, artikel yang diresubmit,  
dan publishing agreement (30 Maret 2018)

---

## K18-165 Article submission for RIBER

3 pesan

---

**Albari Drs. M.Si.** <883110103@uii.ac.id>  
Kepada: riber@buscompress.com

30 Maret 2018 01.22

Dear Editor (Riber)

Here with I attached our paper for publication in RIBER entitle

"THE INFLUENCE OF PRODUCT PRICE ON CONSUMERS' PURCHASING DECISIONS",

We have managed our article for copy-edit as your suggestion. We sent you the revised manuscript.

Regards,  
Albari

---

### 2 lampiran



**k18-165--RIBER submit-.docx**  
57K



**k18-165--FORM publish\_agreement\_riber 2018.pdf**  
760K

---

**Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER)** <riber@buscompress.com>  
Kepada: "Albari Drs. M.Si." <883110103@uii.ac.id>

30 Maret 2018 07.16

Dear Author,  
Thank you for your revised paper and agreement form.

Best regards,  
RIBER

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

---

**Albari Drs. M.Si.** <883110103@uii.ac.id>  
Kepada: "Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER)" <riber@buscompress.com>

30 Maret 2018 15.40

Thank you for your response.  
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

# THE INFLUENCE OF PRODUCT PRICE ON CONSUMERS' PURCHASING DECISIONS

Albari\*

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics,  
Indonesian Islamic University (UII), Yogyakarta, Indonesia  
(email: albari@uii.ac.id)

Indah Safitri

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics,  
Indonesian Islamic University (UII), Yogyakarta, Indonesia  
(email: isafitri78@gmail.com)

Presented at: SIBR-RDINRRU 2018 (Seoul) Conference on  
Interdisciplinary Business and Economics Research  
22<sup>nd</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> February 2018, Seoul, Korea

## ABSTRACT

Consumers consider various factors when making purchasing decisions. In the literature, important factors that influence the purchasing decision are discussed by using product price factor. The price of the product is divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. In addition, brand image variables are also considered as mediators between price and consumers' purchasing decisions and are viewed as important factors affecting the latter.

The subject of this research is the consumption of counterfeit products or "replicas" of famous brands. The sample consisted of 166 people who were selected using the purposive convenience sampling technique. Data were obtained by using a questionnaire. After instrument testing and quality testing, the data were then analyzed by using regression analysis and the discriminant model. All calculations were carried out by using the SPSS 21.0

The discriminant analysis results show that brand image and price (fair price and fixed price) simultaneously have a partial and positive effect on purchasing decision. Multiple linear regression analyses results show that price (fixed price and relative price) simultaneously have a partial and positive effect on brand image.

Keywords: Brand image, Price (fair price, fix price, relative price), Purchase decision

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this dynamic era of marketing revolution and the heightened impact of marketing activities on the life of a common man, people have become more brand conscious in most of the products they purchase. Before deciding to purchase a product, customers associate it with some factors, such as quality, performance, features, and even country of origin (COO) (Tajdar et al., 2015). According to Mramba (2015), a consumer's purchase decisions are influenced by many factors, including personal, psychological, social and cultural factors, thus making it difficult for marketers to predict how consumers buy a particular product. In relation to this, brand name is a fundamental dimension of brand equity, which is why it is often regarded as a prerequisite of consumers' purchasing decisions (Wu, 2015).

Brand image is important because it influences consumers' purchasing behaviors (Bian and Moutinho, 2008). Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) also concluded that brand image significantly influences purchasing decisions.

Meanwhile, the development of the fashion industry has led to the addition of aesthetic elements for each item. In this context, the higher the value of an item, the higher the public judgment upon the buyer. For this reason, counterfeit branded products (i.e., "replicas") like bags, shoes, clothes, and other products, have become ubiquitous in everyday life. Due to the rising popularity of such products among women and even among men, many local producers thus began to produce counterfeit goods that look almost the same as the original manufacturers' branded products (Hening, 2015).

According to Bian and Moutinho (2008), replicas are products, whose brands are identical to trademarks owned by another party, namely, the trademark holders; hence, the production of replicas is an infringement upon the rights of these trademark holders. Counterfeiting has existed for a long time and has been a serious concern for the legitimate producers since the 1970s.

Indeed, counterfeiting has become a significant economic phenomenon in the last two decades. Despite the fact that the acts of selling and manufacturing counterfeit items are considered a crime in some countries, such as in the US and the UK, recent research shows that about a third of consumers will choose to buy fake goods regardless of the potential consequences associated with counterfeiting. As the demand is always the main driver of the market, many researchers have argued that consumer demand for counterfeit goods is one of the main drivers behind the growth of this phenomenon.

Nowadays, many consumers even choose imitation products of famous brands over the original ones. According to a study, consumers are willing to buy replicas because they are cheaper than the original brand and hence offer more value (Hupman and Zaichkowsky, 1995). So that the replicas can be readily accepted by consumers, they must emulate the original brand (Xu and Yi, 2009). Meanwhile, according to Vogel and Watchravesringkan (2017), consumers evaluated consumer products that are less similar imitations of the original more positively than very similar imitations, especially when they are aware of the trend of imitation. In addition to brand image, price has a role in purchasing decision, and this finding also applies to poor and developing countries (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Price has a relative effect: some consumers are sensitive to price, whereas others do not consider the price when making a purchase decision (Sangadji and Sopiah, 2013). In addition, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) proved that the brand image and price are strong factors that determine purchase decisions.

According to Tjiptono (2008), prices affect the image and brand positioning strategy; hence, price is an important element. Consumers tend to associate price with product level, that is, a perceived high price reflects high quality and vice versa. Furthermore, Buehler and Halbherr (2017) stated that price is one of the factors that heighten brand image. Hence, both brand image and price are strong factors that determine purchase decisions. Each variable shall be described below.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES**

### **2.1 Brand Image**

Sangadji and Sopiah (2013) describe brand image as a set of memories about a brand—be it positive or negative—in the minds of consumers. The memories of a brand may consist of the product attributes and perceived benefits to the consumers. Brand is a convenient unitary image (Tajdar et al., 2015). Bian and Moutinho (2008), Li et al. (2011), and Zhang (2015) explained that brand image is important because it influences a consumer's decision to purchase and his/her buying behavior. Consumers typically do not have the time to obtain full knowledge about a product while making a decision; thus, consumers often rely on the brand image as an extrinsic cue to make a purchase decision (Lin, 2007; Duranni et al., 2015; Akkucuk dan Esmaili, 2016)

According to Marta (2016), the majority of consumers prefer to buy products/services that are branded as a brand represents a symbol of quality. Further, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) proved that a brand significantly influences purchasing decisions. Based on the discussion above, we present our first hypothesis below.

H1. Brand image has a positive influence on purchasing decisions.

## **2.2 Price**

Price perception greatly affects a consumer's decision to purchase a product. The perception of price explains information about a product and provides a deep meaning for the consumers (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Hence, price is an important factor in the purchasing decision, especially for products that are frequently purchased, and in turn, influences the choices of which store, product, and brand to patronize (Faith and Agwu, 2014). Consumers are very rational when it comes to judging what benefits they wish to get from buying products or services they pay for (Al-Mamun and Rahman, 2014).

The price of a product is divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. Fair price refers to the adjustment of a price that offers a combination of quality and appropriate services at a reasonable price (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Fixed price is a set price for all buyers (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Relative price is the price set in accordance with the quality and service provided by the seller (Kotler and Armstrong, 2014). Research by Komaladewi and Indika (2017) indicated that most respondents consider price as an important factor influencing their purchase decisions, similar to the finding of Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) and Termsnguanwong (2015). Based on the discussion above, we present our second hypothesis below.

H2. Price has a positive influence on purchasing decisions.

Although the majority of consumers are rather sensitive to price, they also consider other factors, such as brand image, store location, service, value, and quality (Tjiptono, 2008). Along the lines of the conventional saying “You get what you pay for,” many consumers use price as an indicator of quality (Lien et al., 2015). Tajdar et al. (2015) recommended that a brand must come with a reasonable price. According to Tjiptono (2008), price is an important element as it affects a brand’s image and positioning strategy. Consumers tend to associate price with product level, such that a perceived high price reflects high quality and vice versa. Furthermore, Buehler and Halbherr (2017) stated that price is one factor that helps improve brand image. Based on the discussion above, we present our third hypothesis below.

H3. Price has a positive influence on brand image,

## **2.3 Purchase Decision**

A purchase decision is an evaluation stage, in which consumer preferences towards a brand are formed. Consumers also establish the purpose of buying the most preferred brand during this stage (Setiadi, 2003). Kotler and Armstrong (2014) describe purchase decision as a buyer's decision-making stage wherein an individual decides to actually buy the product being considered. Subsequently, Kotler and Armstrong (2014) explained that a purchase decision is the decision regarding a brand to be purchased. Similarly, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) stated that the purchase decision-making process is the stage wherein consumers actually buy the product. From the presentation of the theoretical studies and hypotheses above, we propose the research framework shown in Figure 1.






Figure 1 shows the positive effects of a variable brand image on the purchase decision variable, the variable purchase price against the decision variables, and the variable to variable price brand image.

### 3. RESEARCH METHODS

The subjects in this research consisted of two types of consumers: those who never used replicas and those who have purchased replicas. The populations selected as the subject of the sample were not limited, so the researchers had the freedom to select the sample. For this reason, the sample size was determined to be as many as 166 respondents. The number was obtained based on the test of significance level ( $\alpha$ ) of 1% and the fault tolerance in the sampling (E) of 10%. To obtain a sample of respondents, we followed the Isac Miche approach. The operational process was to ask the consumers whether they were willing to be respondents.

Meanwhile, the data were obtained using a questionnaire instrument, which contained questions and responses relating to the indicators throughout the study variables. The response of each item statement on each of these variables was provided in a closed session with a 5-point scale, ranging from strongly disagree (score 1) to strongly agree (5). For the brand image variables, these were measured by a 5-point indicator, and the price variable was divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. The instruments and research data were subjected to validity and reliability testing to ensure good quality. The instrument quality test involved 35 respondents. The validity test used the correlation matrix and the reliability test used the Cronbach's alpha value. The indicators were considered valid if the value of the correlation was positive and greater than the critical correlation values (see Table 1) at a significance level of 5%, whereas the variable was considered reliable if its Cronbach's alpha value was greater than 0.60. The contents of the research questionnaire and the two quality tests are summarized in Table 1.

In this study, we used two data analysis tools: model of discriminant analysis and multiple linear regression model. In the discriminant analysis phase, we conducted simultaneous test phase (Wilks Lambda), a partial test (test OF), and tests for homogeneity, and multicollinearity. Then, in the regression analysis phase, we performed a six-criterion testing procedure including the following: T test, F test, normality test, heteroskedasticity test, multicollinearity test, and linearity test. All calculations and analysis of this study were conducted using SPSS version 21.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first stage of the analysis involved testing the validity and reliability of the instrument. The critical value of the validity of the instrument was 0.2746 (n = 35). The complete calculation results of the validity and reliability of the instruments are shown in Table 1. All the indicator variables showed that the correlation results produced greater moment than the critical value of 0.2746; furthermore, the Cronbach's alpha coefficients were greater than 0.60. These prove that the indicators and variables are valid and reliable and can be used in the subsequent data analysis.

**Table 1: Validity and Reliability Test Results**

<b>Variable / Indicator</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Validity</b>	<b>reliability</b>
<b>Brand Image</b>	<b>BI</b>		0803
It has the function of the same product.	<b>BI 1</b>	0525	
Counterfeit products make me more confident.	<b>BI 2</b>	0694	
Symbols have the same brand.	<b>BI 3</b>	0503	
Counterfeit products have a famous brand image.	<b>BI 4</b>	0661	
Counterfeit products follow the times.	<b>BI 5</b>	0603	
<b>Fair Price</b>	<b>Fr.P</b>		0672
An affordable price	<b>Fr.P1</b>	0446	
Prices in demand by the consumers	<b>Fr.P2</b>	0675	
Prices received by all the people	<b>Fr.P3</b>	0362	
<b>Fixed Price</b>	<b>Fx.P</b>		0719
Clear price lists	<b>Fx.P1</b>	0503	
Price according to the benefits provided	<b>Fx.P2</b>	0563	
Relatively more reasonable price	<b>Fx.P3</b>	0602	
The same price in the market	<b>Fx.P4</b>	0377	
<b>Relative Price</b>	<b>Rlv.P</b>		0720
More economical	<b>Rlv.P1</b>	0279	
Price is able to compete	<b>Rlv.P2</b>	0560	
Relatively cheaper price	<b>Rlv.P3</b>	0607	
The better the quality of the product and a similar shape higher price	<b>Rlv.P4</b>	0439	

#### **4.1 Discriminant Analysis Model**

The analysis used two models: discriminant analysis and regression analysis. The first method, discriminant analysis, was used to determine the dependency of the dependent variables with the on-matrix scale; the independent variable was determined by using the metric scale. The dependent variable (purchasing decisions) was determined by using the non-scale matrix and the independent variables (brand image and price) were determined with the scale matrix. These were carried out to determine the existence of a dependency relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Next, multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to establish the

positive influence of brand image on price. The complete discriminant analysis calculation results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2 shows the model discriminant analysis results. As can be seen, all variables have positive results, which are supported by the test calculation (Wilk's Lambda) and partial test (Test F) results, with a significance level of 5%. Tests showed the value of the Wilk's Lambda by  $0.000 > 0.05$ , indicating that the independent variable has a simultaneous positive effect on the dependent variable. For the partial test F, results indicated that all independent variables have a value  $0.000 < 0.05$ , indicating a positive result. However, one independent variable proved to be insignificant relative to price, because the coefficient beta at the variable relative price of  $-0.187$  is negative, it means that there is a relationship in the opposite direction and this negatively affects the price relative to the purchase decision. Thus, it is necessary to repair the model by testing the three independent variables of brand image, fixed price, and fair price on the dependent variable of purchase decision. Then, after the repairs, we obtained a positive result, indicating that brand image, fair price, and fixed price all have a significant and positive influence on consumers' purchasing decisions.

**Table 2: Discriminant Analysis Results**

VD	VI	Coef	Wilk's Lambda	Sig- F	Homogeneous	R	R2	r	r2
PD	BI	0773		0000				0873	0762
	Fr.P	0399	0000	0000	0243	0485	0235	0612	0374
	Fx.P	0315		0000				0559	0312
	Rlv.P	-0187		0000				0482	0232

**Table 3: Discriminant Analysis Results (Repaired Model)**

VD	VI	Coef	Wilk's Lambda	Sig- F	Homogeneous	R	R2	r	r2
PD	BI	0731		0000				0882	0777
	Fr.P	0324	0000	0000	0477	0481	0231	0619	0383
	Fx.P	0279		0000				0564	0318

The calculation results show the significance of the classical assumption. The calculation of homogeneity testing (Box's M / F test) generated a probability value greater than 0.05, whereas in the multicollinearity testing, all the coefficients of correlation between variables were  $\leq 0.60$ , indicating that there was no multicorrelation between the independent variables (brand image, fair price, and fixed price) in the discriminant model. Thus, Model I was supported empirically. Meanwhile, the partial determinant coefficient ( $r^2$ ) calculation results indicate that brand image was more dominant in influencing purchasing decisions than price. The coefficient of determination (R2) with a total effect was quite high (0.231).



## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

From the results of the regression analysis, we determined that the price variables have a positive effect on brand image. This means that consumers consider price as a factor that improves brand image. In addition to strengthening the results of previous similar research, the results of the current study can help producers and manufacturers in setting prices in accordance with the products being manufactured and sold, especially when it comes to fixed price and the relative price policy. This can be done by stating the price information clearly, that is, the price is cheaper than the original brand with a relatively good quality.

Meanwhile, discriminant analysis results prove that price and brand image both have a positive influence on consumers' purchasing decisions to buy well-known replicas, either partially or simultaneously. This finding indicates that the variables that are considered important when making consumer purchasing decisions are supported significantly. Moreover, our findings corroborate the conclusions of previous research. In order to encourage consumers to purchase replicas, manufacturers must set appropriate pricing policies and always build a positive brand image. Policies can also be implemented in relation to fair and fixed prices. Furthermore, manufacturers must create products that always follow the current trends.

The results also show that the brand image variable is an important mediating variable between price and purchasing decision. This is indicated by the dominant influence of brand image on consumers' purchasing decision compared with price. This means that, by purchasing well-known replicas, the buyer feels more confident as the replica has the same brand symbol as the famous original product. Moreover, the buyer can obtain the product functionality, style, and various colors and motifs offered by the original product. The results of this research can help the manufacturers of these replica products consider the importance of brand image in consumer purchasing decisions. This is because a well-regarded brand image can influence consumers positively and encourage them to buy the product being offered. Finally, as brand image is considered important, the manufacturers of original brands must always maintain the brand image, so that their products will always be superior to the replicas.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researchers would like to thank all those who have provided support in the completion of this research, especially the respondents. We also wish to thank the Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Islamic University of Indonesia, who funded this research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Akkucuk, U. and Esmaeili, J. (2016). The Impact of Brands on Consumer Buying Behavior: An Empirical Study on Smartphone Buyers. *Turkey Journal of Research in Business & Social Science*, 5 (4), 01-16
- [2] Al-Mamun, A. and Rahman, M.K. (2014). a Critical Review of Consumers' Sensitivity to Price: Managerial and Theoretical Issues. *Journal of International Business and Economics*, 2 (2), 01-09
- [3] Bian, X. and Moutinho, L. (2008). The Role of Brand Image, Product Involvement, and Knowledge in Explaining Consumer Purchase Behaviour of Counterfeits Direct and Indirect Effects. *Research Memorandum*, 77 (March), 1-34
- [4] Buehler, S. and Halbherr, D. (2017). Selling When Brand Image Matter. *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 167 (1), 102- 118

- [5] Djatmiko, T. and Pradana, R. (2015). Brand Image and Product Price: Its Impact for Samsung Smartphone Purchasing Decision. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 221-227
- [6] Durrani, B.A., Godil, D.I., Baig, M.U and Sajid, S. (2015). Impact of Brand Image on Buying Behaviour Among Teenagers. *European Scientific Journal*, 11 (51), 155-168
- [7] Faith, D.O. and Agwu, M.E. (2014). a Review of the Effect of Pricing Strategies on the Purchase of Consumer Goods. *International Journal of Research in Management, Science & Technology*, 2 (2), 88-102
- [8] Hening, Y. (2017). Analisis Minat Beli Wanita terhadap Produk Tas Bermerek Original di Tengah Komoditi Produk Tiruan Produksi Produsen Lokal. Retrieved on April 22, 2017 at <http://www.dinus.ac.id/wbasc/assets/dokume/majalah /ipi360772.pdf>
- [9] Hupman, R. and Zaichkowsky, J.L. (1995). Brand Imitation and The Consumer: An Ethical Perspective. *European Advances in Consumer Research*, 2, 418-424.
- [10] Komaladewi, R. and Indika, D. (2017). A Review of Consumer Purchase Decision on Low Cost Green Car in West Java, Indonesia. *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 6 (2), 172-184
- [11] Kotler, P. and Armstrong, G. (2014). *Principles of Marketing*. 15th ed. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall
- [12] Kotler, P. and Keller, K.L. (2016). *Marketing Management*. 15ed. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall
- [13] Li, X.G., Wang, X, and Cai, Y.J. (2011). Corporate, Product, and User-Image Dimensions and Purchase Intentions. *Journal of Computers*, 6 (9), 1875-1879
- [14] Lien, C., Wen, M.J., Huang, L. and Wu, K. (2015). Online Hotel Booking: The Effects of Brand Image, Price, Trust and Value on Purchase Intentions. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 20, 210-218
- [15] Lin, N.H. (2007). The Effect of Brand Image and Product Knowledge on Purchase Intention Moderated by Price Discount. *Journal of International Management Studies*, August, 121-132
- [16] Marta, K. (2016). Influence of The Brand on Purchase Decision. *Academica Brancusi Publisher*, 6, 54-57
- [17] Mramba, N.R. (2015). Does the Brand Name Matter to Purchase Decision? The Case of Mobile Phone. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7 (4), 335-343
- [18] Sangadji, E.M. and Sopiah. (2013). Perilaku Konsumen: Pendekatan Praktis disertai Himpunan Jurnal Penelitian. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- [19] Tajdar, S., Ahmad, S., Ahmad, J. and Khan, A. (2015). Customers' Prescription of Foreign versus Local Brands in the Pharmaceutical Industry of Peshawar (Pakistan). *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 4 (2), 378-396
- [20] Temsnguanwong, S. (2015). OTOP Product Champion Marketing Strategy Model Which are Selected the Best OPC 5-Star Product Approach of Chiang Mai Province: The Fabric and Apparel Community, Thailand. *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 4 (4), 259-276
- [21] Tjiptono, F. (2008). Strategi Pemasaran, Edisi 3. ANDI: Yogyakarta
- [22] Vogel, A.T. and Watchravesringkan, K. (2017). Consumer Evaluation of Trend Imitation: Brand Equity, Consumer Attitudes and Preference. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 26 (5), 516-527.
- [23] Wu, C.S. (2015). a Study on Consumers' Attitude Towards Brand Image, Athletes' Endorsement, and Purchase Intention. *The International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, 8 (2), 233-253
- [24] Xu, P. and Yi, L.S. (2009). Imitation Brand (The Influencing Factors to Chinese Consumers Purchasing Behavior). *University of Halmstad: Master's Dissertation in International Marketing*, 1-83.

- [25] Zhang, Y. (2015). The Impact of Brand Image on Consumer Behavior: A Literature Review. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, (3), 58-62.

Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research  
(hereinafter the "Copyright Owner")  
**JOURNAL PUBLISHING AGREEMENT**

PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION AND INDICATE YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF THE TERMS

Manuscript title: **THE ROLE OF PRODUCT PRICE ON DECISION OF CONSUMERS PURCHASE**

Manuscript #: **k18-165**

Corresponding author: **Albari**

To be published in the journal: **Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research**

YOUR STATUS (please mark "X" in appropriate box)

I am the sole author of the manuscript.

I am one author signing on behalf of all co-authors of the manuscript.

Assignment of publishing rights

I hereby assign to the Copyright Owner the copyright in the manuscript identified above and any supplemental tables, illustrations or other information submitted therewith that are intended for publication as part of the manuscript (the "Article") in all forms and media (whether now known or hereafter developed), throughout the world, for the full term of copyright, effective when and if the article is accepted for publication.

Revisions and addenda

I understand that no revisions, additional terms or addenda to this Agreement can be accepted without the Copyright Owner's express written consent.

Retention of Rights for Scholarly Purposes

I understand that I retain or am hereby granted (without the need to obtain further permission) the Retained Rights (see description below and definitions), and that no rights in patents, trademarks or other intellectual property rights are transferred to the Copyright Owner.

The Retained Rights include:

- the right to use the Preprint or Accepted Author Manuscript for Personal Use, Internal Institutional Use and for Permitted Scholarly Posting; and
  - the right to use the Published Journal Article for Personal Use and Internal Institutional Use,
- but in each case excluding Commercial Use or Systematic Distribution (unless expressly agreed in writing by the Copyright Owner).

Author representations

- The article I have submitted to the journal for review is original, has been written by the stated authors and has not been published elsewhere.
- The article contains no libellous or other unlawful statements and does not contain any materials that violate any personal or proprietary rights of any other person or entity.
- I have obtained written permission from copyright owners for any excerpts from copyrighted works that are included and have credited the sources in my article.
- If I am using any personal details or images of patients or research subjects, I have obtained written permission or consent from the patient.
- If the article was prepared jointly with other authors, I have informed the co-author(s) of the terms of this publishing agreement and that I am signing on their behalf as their agent, and I am authorized to do so.

Signed:  Name printed: **Albari**

Institution/affiliation: **Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Indonesian Islamic University (UII), Yogyakarta, Indonesian**

Date: **30 March 2018**

Please complete and sign this form, and return a scanned copy by email to: [riber@buscompress.com](mailto:riber@buscompress.com).



3. Bukti konfirmasi LoA dan info penerbitan  
(14 &16 April 2018)

---

## RIBER article publication Volume 7 (2018), Issue s2

2 pesan

---

Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER) <riber@buscompress.com>

14 April 2018 11.53

Dear Author(s),

We appreciate your contribution to Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER). Your article has been published in Volume 7 (2018), Issue s2, of RIBER.

### PUBLISHED ARTICLES

Your article is accessible at:

<http://buscompress.com/riber-7-s2.html>

### OFFPRINT & PRINT ISSUE

You have an option to purchase the offprint (hard copy) of your article and the print issue. Order information is available at:

<http://buscompress.com/order-offprint.html>

Thank you very much for your support. Please continue to consider RIBER as an outlet for your future research.

Sincerely,

Editorial Board  
Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research

---

Albari Drs. M.Si. <883110103@uii.ac.id>

Kepada: "Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER)" <riber@buscompress.com>

16 April 2018 12.55

You are welcome. hopefully in the future we can work together again

Albari.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

4. Bukti penerbitan -- journal home & indexed, editorial board, daftar isi, artikel dimuat (April 2018)

[Journal Home](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Editorial Policies](#)

[Guide for Authors](#)

[Submit](#)

[Track Submission](#)

[Search Articles](#)

[RIBER Best Paper Prize](#)

[Order Print Issue](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

[Conferences](#)

— *Review of* —  
**Integrative  
Business &  
Economics**  
— *Research* —

*An Interdisciplinary Journal on Applied  
Business and Economics Research*

Editor: Michael K Fung

See the full Editorial Board [here](#)

Published on behalf of 

§ News and Announcement: *RIBER Best Paper Prize* ([see the details](#)) §

### Journal Aim and Purpose

*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research (RIBER)* is a peer-reviewed academic journal facilitating communications among researchers with cross-disciplinary research interests. *RIBER* provides an outlet for the increasing flow of interdisciplinary research cutting across business and economics disciplines. It is an essential reading for academics, graduate students, policy makers and business practitioners interested in applied business and economics research.



### Journal Scope

Interdisciplinary research ranges from sharing of ideas across disciplines to integration of disciplines in terms of theories, methodologies, procedures, epistemologies, terminologies and data. *RIBER* publishes original research articles that identify, explain and analyze real-world business and economic phenomena/issues from interdisciplinary perspectives. *RIBER* particularly encourages quantitative studies that provide practical results. Theoretical articles are welcomed provided that their results yield significant implications for practical fields. Purely descriptive articles are unlikely to be accepted.

### Editorial Policy

*RIBER* is a free resource for academic research. Submission, publication and access are free of charge. To promote interdisciplinary research, a *Best Paper Prize* in cash is awarded to the best paper(s) published in each issue. See the full editorial policies [here](#).

### Journal Metrics

#### Scopus CiteScore Tracker

Google Scholar 2017-2021 h5-index: 26

Google Scholar 2016-2020 h5-index: 21

Google Scholar 2015-2019 h5-index: 16

Google Scholar 2014-2018 h5-index: 14

Google Scholar 2013-2017 h5-index: 12

Google Scholar 2012-2016 h5-index: 7

Most cited articles published in 2017-2021 (*based on Google Scholar updated in October 2022*):

N Basias, Y Pollalis (cited 280 times since 2018)

[Company Characteristics, Corporate Governance and Aggressive Tax Avoidance Practice: A Study of Indonesian Companies](#)

A Pratama (cited 59 times since 2017)

[The Influence of Affective Commitment, Job Satisfaction and Job Stress on Turnover Intention: A Study of Nepalese Bank Employees](#)

V Yukongdi, P Shrestha (cited 52 times since 2020)

[Audit Committee Characteristics and Voluntary Financial Disclosure](#)

E Setiany, S Hartoko, D Suhardjanto, S Honggowati (cited 47 times since 2017)

[Perceived Value, eWord-of-Mouth, Traditional Word-of-Mouth, and Perceived Quality to Destination Image of Vacation Tourists](#)

C Susilowati, D Sugandini (cited 43 times since 2018)

### **About the Journal**

The first issue of *RIBER* was published in 2012.

*RIBER* is indexed in: [Scopus](#); ProQuest (ABI/INFORM; SciTech Premium Collection; Agricultural and Environmental Science Database; Natural Science Collection); EconLit; Google Scholar.

ISSN: 2304-1013 (Online); 2414-6722 (Print).

Publisher: GMP Press & Printing Co., 2/F, Wai Yip Building, Cheung Sha Wan Rd., HK.

Enquiry: [riber@buscompress.com](mailto:riber@buscompress.com).



*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research* is published by GMP Press & Printing Co.  
Copyright © by GMP Press & Printing Co.

- Journal Home
- Editorial Board**
- Editorial Policies
- Guide for Authors
- Submit
- Track Submission
- Search Articles
- RIBER Best Paper Prize
- Order Print Issue
- Publication Ethics
- Conferences

— *Review of* —  
**Integrative  
Business &  
Economics**  
— *Research* —

## Editorial Board

### Editor

Michael K Fung  
*Hong Kong Polytechnic University*

### Editorial Board

Allan Chia  
*Singapore University of Social Sciences*

Caroline Coulombe  
*University of Quebec in Montreal*

Farhad Daneshgar  
*University of New South Wales*

Clifford Fisher  
*Purdue University*

Tomoki Fujii  
*Singapore Management University*

Keri Hu  
*University of Hong Kong*

Toshihiro Ichida  
*Waseda University*

Napatsorn Jiraporn  
*State University of New York at Oswego*

Pornsit Jiraporn  
*Pennsylvania State University*

Raj Komaran  
*Singapore Management University*

Gregory Laurence  
*University of Michigan, Flint*

Francis Mulhern  
*Northwestern University*

Juan J. Segovia  
*Concordia University*

Ananda Wickramasinghe  
*Sydney Business School*

Yuan Wen  
*State University of New York at New Paltz*

David Wilemon  
*Syracuse University*

### Editorial Manager

WL Ng ([riber@buscompress.com](mailto:riber@buscompress.com))

[Journal Home](#)  
[Editorial Board](#)  
[Editorial Policies](#)  
[Guide for Authors](#)  
[Submit](#)  
[Track Submission](#)  
[Search Articles](#)  
[RIBER Best Paper Prize](#)  
[Order Print Issue](#)  
[Publication Ethics](#)  
[Conferences](#)

— *Review of* —  
**Integrative  
Business &  
Economics**  
— *Research* —

**Vol. 7 (2018), Issue s2**

**Part II:**

[Organizational Innovative Climate as a Predictor of Innovative Behaviour among Engineers in the Electrical and Electronic Manufacturing Industry. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 1-14.](#)  
Hazril Izwar Ibrahim, Wan Maisara Wan Mohamad, Khairul Anuar Mohamad Shah

[Geo-Informatics and Space Technology Corporate Brand Personality and Implementation in Thailand. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 15-23.](#)  
Chuenjit Changchenkit

[Analysis on the Effect of Instagram Use on Consumer Purchase Intensity. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 24-38.](#)  
Budi Astuti, Azhalia Pramesthi Putri

[Internal Marketing Dimensions and Organizational Commitment of Universal Banks' Employees. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 39-51.](#)  
Wilmark J. Ramos

[The Effect of Employee Perceived Reputation to Organizational Citizenship Behavior: A Study of Professional Event Organizer's Employees. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 52-61.](#)  
Netania Emilisa, Gatri Lunarindiah

[Corporate Governance and Financial Performance: A Comparative Study of South East Asia's Hospitality Industries. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 62-70.](#)  
Purwanto, Djoko Suhardjanto, Wiwin Tri Aprilyana, Erna Setiany

[Sustaining the Environment Through Green Marketing. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 71-77.](#)  
Shifa Wahab

[The Moderating Effect of Environmental Factors on the Relationship between Market Orientation and School Performance: Basis for Institutional Enhancement. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 78-105.](#)  
Jestita F. Gurrea

**Part III:**

[The Factor Analysis on Professionalism in Family Businesses. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 106-115.](#)  
Denny Bernardus K. W., Christina Whidya Utami, Liliana Dewi

[Transformation from Relationship Marketing to Electronic Customer Relationship Management: A Literature Study. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 116-124.](#)  
Chandra Hendriyani, Lina Auliana

[Effect of Original Local Government Revenues, General Allocation Funds, Special Allocation Funds, Share Funds, Other Legal Revenues, Budget Surplus/Deficit to Human Development Index Through Capital Expenditures: Case Study of Regencies/Municipalities in Indonesia. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 125-137.](#)  
Eva Wulandari, Muhamad Wahyudi, Utpala Rani

[The Effect of Remittances by Migratory Workers on Child Education. \*Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research\*, Vol. 7\(s2\), 138-143.](#)  
Nunung Nurwati, Nurliana Cipta Apsari, Meilanny Budiarti Santoso

Fatigue Measurement of Elderly Workers in Small and Medium Enterprises. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 144-151.

Kristiana Asih Damayanti, Ceicalia Tesavrita

Entrenchment Effect and Financial Performance in Indonesia Family Listed Companies. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 152-166.

Tri Damayanti, Lindrianasari, Agrianti Komalasari, Fajar Gustiawaty Dewi, Rindu Rika Gamayuni

The Self-esteem, Social support and College Adjustment of Business and Accountancy Students. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 167-175.

Maria Sheila G. Medina

Entrepreneurial Orientation Among Tarlac State University BS-Entrepreneurial Students and Graduates. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 176-181.

Limuel P. Pavico, Elvira B. Mercado

Fishbone Analysis of the Quality Control of Ceramic Products: Study of the Export Ceramic Center in Plered Purwakarta, Indonesia. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 182-188.

Sam'un Jaja Raharja, Ria Arifianti, Rivani

SWOT Analysis on the Export-oriented Ceramic Industry Centre in Purwakarta, Indonesia. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 189-199.

Rivani, Sam'un Jaja Raharja, Ria Arifianti

Russia's Policy Framework in a Multifaceted Macroeconomic Risk Environment. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 200-212.

Parth Verma, Prajwal Gupta

#### **Part IV:**

SWOT Analysis for Business Strategies: A Case of Virage Awi in the Bamboo Craft Industries, Bandung, Indonesia. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 213-224.

Erna Maulina, Sam'un Jaja Raharja

Analysis of the Sustainable Competitive Advantages of the Creative Industry in Bandung City. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 225-231.

Pratami Wulan Tresna, Sam'un Jaja Raharja

Marketing Strategy of a Creative Industry Company in Bandung City. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 232-240.

Arianis Chan, Sam'un Jaja Raharja

Cases of Micro Enterprises on the Incentives and Benefits of the Barangay Micro Business Enterprises Act of 2002. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 241-252.

Derick S. Figueroa

Financial Management of Government (State) Universities in Indonesia. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 253-260.

Hanung Triatmoko, Lulus Kurniasih, Muhtar, Bandi

Performance of Multi-Purpose Cooperatives in the City of Tarlac. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 261-267.

Rowena Cataina Ramos

The Employability Skills of BSBA-Marketing Management Students. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 268-275.

Wilmark J. Ramos, Susan D. Ramirez, Daisy V. Medrano

Beyond Halal in Food Product: Present and Future of Halalan Tayyiban. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 276-289.

Norazilawati Md Dahlal, Fadzila Azni Ahmad

Influence of Enterprise-supplier Collaboration, Government Intervention, and the Ethical Culture of Guanxi Applied through Paguyuban or Patembayan on Product Co-development: Empirical Studies on SMEs in D.I. Yogyakarta. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 290-303.

Reynaldi Hendras Pradipta, Siti Nursyamsiah

Influence of Environmental Consciousness and Knowledge on Green Practices: An Islamic Perspective. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 304-313.



Nadiah Rus Liyana Rusli, Fadzila Azni Ahmad

[The Role of Green Equity to Support Customer Equity in Hotel Industry.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 314-327.

Arum Kamala, Ratna Roostika

**Part V:**

[The Influence of Product Price on Consumers' Purchasing Decisions.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 328-337.

Albari, Indah Safitri

[Influence of Individual and Organizational Characteristics on Work Stress among Nurses.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 338-347.

Helmiatin, ETTY Susanty

[The Public Service Management Capacity of Community Health Centers in Cimahi City and Its Contribution to Human Development Index \(HDI\) of the Health Sector.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 348-356.

Candradewini Candradewini, Healthy Nirmalasari

[Exploring the Linkage Among CSR, Accounting and Factory Performance: A Study Based on Bangladeshi Garments Factory.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 357-370.

Tapos Kumar

[The Impact of Human Resource Development on Continuous Improvement in the Steel Industry.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 371-384.

Chanakan Chavaha, Chanongkorn Kuntonbutr

[The Influence of Layout Planning and Quality Control to the Factory Productivity in Gajah Tunggal, Ltd Plant-A, Tangerang \(Case study: Gajah Tunggal, Ltd.\).](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 385-393.

Tukhas Shilul Imaroh, Agil Tri Prastya

[Creative Industry Development Strategy in Bandung, Indonesia.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 394-403.

Tetty Herawaty, Sam'un Jaja Raharja

[The Variable and Dimensions of Entrepreneurial Orientation Perceived by Lecturers of Higher Education Institutions.](#) *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, Vol. 7(s2), 404-410.

Any Meilani, Ginta Ginting

[How Women Entrepreneurs Play a Role in Increasing Competitiveness of Micro Small and Medium Enterprises: A Case in West Java Indonesia.](#) *RIBER*, Vol. 7(s2), 411-417.

Yuyus Suryana, Rita Komaladewi

## The Influence of Product Price on Consumers' Purchasing Decisions

Albari\*

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Indonesian Islamic University (UII), Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Indah Safitri

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Indonesian Islamic University (UII), Yogyakarta, Indonesia

— *Review of* —  
**Integrative  
 Business &  
 Economics**  
 — *Research* —

### ABSTRACT

Consumers consider various factors when making purchasing decisions. In the literature, important factors that influence the purchasing decision are discussed by using product price factor. The price of the product is divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. In addition, brand image variables are also considered as mediators between price and consumers' purchasing decisions and are viewed as important factors affecting the latter. The subject of this research is the consumption of counterfeit products or "replicas" of famous brands. The sample consisted of 166 people who were selected using the purposive convenience sampling technique. Data were obtained by using a questionnaire. After instrument testing and quality testing, the data were then analyzed by using regression analysis and the discriminant model. All calculations were carried out by using the SPSS 21.0. The discriminant analysis results show that brand image and price (fair price and fixed price) simultaneously have a partial and positive effect on purchasing decision. Multiple linear regression analyses results show that price (fixed price and relative price) simultaneously have a partial and positive effect on brand image.

Keywords: Brand image, Price (fair price, fix price, relative price), Purchase decision.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this dynamic era of marketing revolution and the heightened impact of marketing activities on the life of a common man, people have become more brand conscious in most of the products they purchase. Before deciding to purchase a product, customers associate it with some factors, such as quality, performance, features, and even country of origin (COO) (Tajdar et al., 2015). According to Mramba (2015), a consumer's purchase decisions are influenced by many factors, including personal, psychological, social and cultural factors, thus making it difficult for marketers to predict how consumers buy a particular product. In relation to this, brand name is a fundamental dimension of brand equity, which is why it is often regarded as a prerequisite of consumers' purchasing decisions (Wu, 2015).

Brand image is important because it influences consumers' purchasing behaviors (Bian and Moutinho, 2008). Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) also concluded that brand image significantly influences purchasing decisions.

Meanwhile, the development of the fashion industry has led to the addition of aesthetic elements for each item. In this context, the higher the value of an item, the higher the public

judgment upon the buyer. For this reason, counterfeit branded products (i.e., “replicas”) like bags, shoes, clothes, and other products, have become ubiquitous in everyday life. Due to the rising popularity of such products among women and even among men, many local producers thus began to produce counterfeit goods that look almost the same as the original manufacturers' branded products (Hening, 2015).

According to Bian and Moutinho (2008), replicas are products, whose brands are identical to trademarks owned by another party, namely, the trademark holders; hence, the production of replicas is an infringement upon the rights of these trademark holders. Counterfeiting has existed for a long time and has been a serious concern for the legitimate producers since the 1970s.

Indeed, counterfeiting has become a significant economic phenomenon in the last two decades. Despite the fact that the acts of selling and manufacturing counterfeit items are considered a crime in some countries, such as in the US and the UK, recent research shows that about a third of consumers will choose to buy fake goods regardless of the potential consequences associated with counterfeiting. As the demand is always the main driver of the market, many researchers have argued that consumer demand for counterfeit goods is one of the main drivers behind the growth of this phenomenon.

Nowadays, many consumers even choose imitation products of famous brands over the original ones. According to a study, consumers are willing to buy replicas because they are cheaper than the original brand and hence offer more value (Hupman and Zaichkowsky, 1995). So that the replicas can be readily accepted by consumers, they must emulate the original brand (Xu and Yi, 2009). Meanwhile, according to Vogel and Watchravesringkan (2017), consumers evaluated consumer products that are less similar imitations of the original more positively than very similar imitations, especially when they are aware of the trend of imitation. In addition to brand image, price has a role in purchasing decision, and this finding also applies to poor and developing countries (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Price has a relative effect: some consumers are sensitive to price, whereas others do not consider the price when making a purchase decision (Sangadji and Sopiah, 2013). In addition, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) proved that the brand image and price are strong factors that determine purchase decisions.

According to Tjiptono (2008), prices affect the image and brand positioning strategy; hence, price is an important element. Consumers tend to associate price with product level, that is, a perceived high price reflects high quality and vice versa. Furthermore, Buehler and Halbherr (2017) stated that price is one of the factors that heighten brand image. Hence, both brand image and price are strong factors that determine purchase decisions. Each variable shall be described below.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES**

### **2.1 Brand Image**

Sangadji and Sopiah (2013) describe brand image as a set of memories about a brand—be it positive or negative—in the minds of consumers. The memories of a brand may consist of the product attributes and perceived benefits to the consumers. Brand is a convenient unitary image (Tajdar et al., 2015). Bian and Moutinho (2008), Li et al. (2011), and Zhang (2015) explained that brand image is important because it influences a consumer's decision to purchase and his/her buying behavior. Consumers typically do not have the time to obtain full knowledge about a product while making a decision; thus, consumers often rely on the brand image as an

extrinsic cue to make a purchase decision (Lin, 2007; Duranni et al., 2015; Akkucuk dan Esmaeili, 2016)

According to Marta (2016), the majority of consumers prefer to buy products/services that are branded as a brand represents a symbol of quality. Further, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) proved that a brand significantly influences purchasing decisions. Based on the discussion above, we present our first hypothesis below.

H1. Brand image has a positive influence on purchasing decisions.

## 2.2 Price

Price perception greatly affects a consumer's decision to purchase a product. The perception of price explains information about a product and provides a deep meaning for the consumers (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Hence, price is an important factor in the purchasing decision, especially for products that are frequently purchased, and in turn, influences the choices of which store, product, and brand to patronize (Faith and Agwu, 2014). Consumers are very rational when it comes to judging what benefits they wish to get from buying products or services they pay for (Al-Mamun and Rahman, 2014).

The price of a product is divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. Fair price refers to the adjustment of a price that offers a combination of quality and appropriate services at a reasonable price (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Fixed price is a set price for all buyers (Kotler and Keller, 2016). Relative price is the price set in accordance with the quality and service provided by the seller (Kotler and Armstrong, 2014). Research by Komaladewi and Indika (2017) indicated that most respondents consider price as an important factor influencing their purchase decisions, similar to the finding of Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) and Termsnguanwong (2015). Based on the discussion above, we present our second hypothesis below.

H2. Price has a positive influence on purchasing decisions.

Although the majority of consumers are rather sensitive to price, they also consider other factors, such as brand image, store location, service, value, and quality (Tjiptono, 2008). Along the lines of the conventional saying "You get what you pay for," many consumers use price as an indicator of quality (Lien et al., 2015). Tajdar et al. (2015) recommended that a brand must come with a reasonable price. According to Tjiptono (2008), price is an important element as it affects a brand's image and positioning strategy. Consumers tend to associate price with product level, such that a perceived high price reflects high quality and vice versa. Furthermore, Buehler and Halbherr (2017) stated that price is one factor that helps improve brand image. Based on the discussion above, we present our third hypothesis below.

H3. Price has a positive influence on brand image,

## 2.3 Purchase Decision

A purchase decision is an evaluation stage, in which consumer preferences towards a brand are formed. Consumers also establish the purpose of buying the most preferred brand during this stage (Setiadi, 2003). Kotler and Armstrong (2014) describe purchase decision as a buyer's decision-making stage wherein an individual decides to actually buy the product being

considered. Subsequently, Kotler and Armstrong (2014) explained that a purchase decision is the decision regarding a brand to be purchased. Similarly, Djatmiko and Pradana (2015) stated that the purchase decision-making process is the stage wherein consumers actually buy the product. From the presentation of the theoretical studies and hypotheses above, we propose the research framework shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1:  
Research Framework

Figure 1 shows the positive effects of a variable brand image on the purchase decision variable, the variable purchase price against the decision variables, and the variable to variable price brand image.

### 3. RESEARCH METHODS

The subjects in this research consisted of two types of consumers: those who never used replicas and those who have purchased replicas. The populations selected as the subject of the sample were not limited, so the researchers had the freedom to select the sample. For this reason, the sample size was determined to be as many as 166 respondents. The number was obtained based on the test of significance level ( $\alpha$ ) of 1% and the fault tolerance in the sampling (E) of 10%. To obtain a sample of respondents, we followed the Isac Miche approach. The operational process was to ask the consumers whether they were willing to be respondents.

Meanwhile, the data were obtained using a questionnaire instrument, which contained questions and responses relating to the indicators throughout the study variables. The response of each item statement on each of these variables was provided in a closed session with a 5-point scale, ranging from strongly disagree (score 1) to strongly agree (5). For the brand image variables, these were measured by a 5-point indicator, and the price variable was divided into three dimensions: fair price, fixed price, and relative price. The instruments and research data were subjected to validity and reliability testing to ensure good quality. The instrument quality test involved 35 respondents. The validity test used the correlation matrix and the reliability test used the Cronbach's alpha value. The indicators were considered valid if the value of the correlation was positive and greater than the critical correlation values (see Table 1) at a significance level of 5%, whereas the variable was considered reliable if its Cronbach's alpha

value was greater than 0.60. The contents of the research questionnaire and the two quality tests are summarized in Table 1.

In this study, we used two data analysis tools: model of discriminant analysis and multiple linear regression model. In the discriminant analysis phase, we conducted simultaneous test phase (Wilks Lambda), a partial test (test OF), and tests for homogeneity, and multicollinearity. Then, in the regression analysis phase, we performed a six-criterion testing procedure including the following: T test, F test, normality test, heteroskedasticity test, multicollinearity test, and linearity test. All calculations and analysis of this study were conducted using SPSS version 21.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first stage of the analysis involved testing the validity and reliability of the instrument. The critical value of the validity of the instrument was 0.2746 ( $n = 35$ ). The complete calculation results of the validity and reliability of the instruments are shown in Table 1. All the indicator variables showed that the correlation results produced greater moment than the critical value of 0.2746; furthermore, the Cronbach's alpha coefficients were greater than 0.60. These prove that the indicators and variables are valid and reliable and can be used in the subsequent data analysis.

**Table 1: Validity and Reliability Test Results**

Variable / Indicator	Code	Validity	reliability
<b>Brand Image</b>	<b>BI</b>		
It has the function of the same product.	<b>BI 1</b>	0525	0803
Counterfeit products make me more confident.	<b>BI 2</b>	0694	
Symbols have the same brand.	<b>BI 3</b>	0503	
Counterfeit products have a famous brand image.	<b>BI 4</b>	0661	
Counterfeit products follow the times.	<b>BI 5</b>	0603	
<b>Fair Price</b>	<b>Fr.P</b>		
An affordable price	<b>Fr.P1</b>	0446	0672
Prices in demand by the consumers	<b>Fr.P2</b>	0675	
Prices received by all the people	<b>Fr.P3</b>	0362	
<b>Fixed Price</b>	<b>Fx.P</b>		
Clear price lists	<b>Fx.P1</b>	0503	0719
Price according to the benefits provided	<b>Fx.P2</b>	0563	
Relatively more reasonable price	<b>Fx.P3</b>	0602	
The same price in the market	<b>Fx.P4</b>	0377	
<b>Relative Price</b>	<b>Rlv.P</b>		

More economical	<b>Rlv.P1</b>	0279	0720
Price is able to compete	<b>Rlv.P2</b>	0560	
Relatively cheaper price	<b>Rlv.P3</b>	0607	
The better the quality of the product and a similar shape higher price	<b>Rlv.P4</b>	0439	

**4.1 Discriminant Analysis Model**

The analysis used two models: discriminant analysis and regression analysis. The first method, discriminant analysis, was used to determine the dependency of the dependent variables with the on-matrix scale; the independent variable was determined by using the metric scale. The dependent variable (purchasing decisions) was determined by using the non-scale matrix and the independent variables (brand image and price) were determined with the scale matrix. These were carried out to determine the existence of a dependency relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Next, multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to establish the positive influence of brand image on price. The complete discriminant analysis calculation results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2 shows the model discriminant analysis results. As can be seen, all variables have positive results, which are supported by the test calculation (Wilk's Lambda) and partial test (Test F) results, with a significance level of 5%. Tests showed the value of the Wilk's Lambda by  $0.000 > 0.05$ , indicating that the independent variable has a simultaneous positive effect on the dependent variable. For the partial test F, results indicated that all independent variables have a value  $0.000 < 0.05$ , indicating a positive result. However, one independent variable proved to be insignificant relative to price, because the coefficient beta at the variable relative price of  $-0.187$  is negative, it means that there is a relationship in the opposite direction and this negatively affects the price relative to the purchase decision. Thus, it is necessary to repair the model by testing the three independent variables of brand image, fixed price, and fair price on the dependent variable of purchase decision. Then, after the repairs, we obtained a positive result, indicating that brand image, fair price, and fixed price all have a significant and positive influence on consumers' purchasing decisions.

**Table 2: Discriminant Analysis Results**

VD	VI	Coef	Wilk's Lambda	Sig-F	Homogeneous	R	R2	r	r2
PD	BI	0773		0000				0873	0762
	Fr.P	0399	0000	0000	0243	0485	0235	0612	0374
	Fx.P	0315		0000				0559	0312
	Rlv.P	-0187		0000				0482	0232

**Table 3: Discriminant Analysis Results (Repaired Model)**

VD	VI	Coef	Wilk's Lambda	Sig- F	Homogeneous	R	R2	r	r2
PD	BI	0731		0000				0882	0777
	Fr.P	0324	0000	0000	0477	0481	0231	0619	0383
	Fx.P	0279		0000				0564	0318

The calculation results show the significance of the classical assumption. The calculation of homogeneity testing (Box's M / F test) generated a probability value greater than 0.05, whereas in the multicollinearity testing, all the coefficients of correlation between variables were  $\leq 0.60$ , indicating that there was no multicorrelation between the independent variables (brand image, fair price, and fixed price) in the discriminant model. Thus, Model I was supported empirically. Meanwhile, the partial determinant coefficient ( $r^2$ ) calculation results indicate that brand image was more dominant in influencing purchasing decisions than price. The coefficient of determination (R2) with a total effect was quite high (0.231).

#### 4.2 Regression Model Analysis

Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to determine the positive influence of price on brand image. The multiple linear regression analysis results are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. Table 4 shows the positive results of each variable, which are supported by value of the test calculations (F test) and partial test (T test), with a significance level of 5%. Results showed the value of the test F by  $0.000 > 0.05$ , indicating a positive result. This means that the independent variable has a simultaneous and positive effect on the dependent variable. Next, in the partial test (T test), all independent variables showed the value  $0.000 < 0.05$ , indicating a positive result. However, one independent variable proved to be insignificant (i.e., fair price), because the coefficient1 beta at the variable fair price of  $-0.010$  is negative, which means that there is a relationship in the opposite direction and this negatively affects the impact of fair price on brand image. Thus, it is necessary to repair the model by testing the influence of two independent variables (fixed price and relative price) on the dependent variable (brand image). After the repairs, we obtained a positive result, indicating that fair price and relative price have a significant and positive effect on brand image.

**Table 4: Regression Analysis Results**

VD	VI	Coef	Sig-F	Sig-t	Hetero	Nor	Multicol	Linier	R <sup>2</sup>	r	r <sup>2</sup>
BI	Fr.P	-0.010		0.946	0.044		1.549			-0.005	0.000
	Fx.P	0.329	0.000	0.003	0.823	0.778	1.341	43.6	0.249	0.203	0.041
	Rlv.P	0.500		0.000	0.882		1.671			0.279	0.077





purchasing decisions. This is because a well-regarded brand image can influence consumers positively and encourage them to buy the product being offered. Finally, as brand image is considered important, the manufacturers of original brands must always maintain the brand image, so that their products will always be superior to the replicas.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researchers would like to thank all those who have provided support in the completion of this research, especially the respondents. We also wish to thank the Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Islamic University of Indonesia, who funded this research.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Akkucuk, U. and Esmaeili, J. (2016). The Impact of Brands on Consumer Buying Behavior: An Empirical Study on Smartphone Buyers. *Turkey Journal of Research in Business & Social Science*, 5 (4), 01-16
- [2] Al-Mamun, A. and Rahman, M.K. (2014). a Critical Review of Consumers' Sensitivity to Price: Managerial and Theoretical Issues. *Journal of International Business and Economics*, 2 (2), 01-09
- [3] Bian, X. and Moutinho, L. (2008). The Role of Brand Image, Product Involvement, and Knowledge in Explaining Consumer Purchase Behaviour of Counterfeits Direct and Indirect Effects. *Research Memorandum*, 77 (March), 1-34
- [4] Buehler, S. and Halbherr, D. (2017). Selling When Brand Image Matter. *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 167 (1), 102- 118
- [5] Djatmiko, T. and Pradana, R. (2015). Brand Image and Product Price: Its Impact for Samsung Smartphone Purchasing Decision. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 221-227
- [6] Durrani, B.A., Godil, D.I., Baig, M.U and Sajid, S. (2015). Impact of Brand Image on Buying Behaviour Among Teenagers. *European Scientific Journal*, 11 (51), 155-168
- [7] Faith, D.O. and Agwu, M.E. (2014). a Review of the Effect of Pricing Strategies on the Purchase of Consumer Goods. *International Journal of Research in Management, Science & Technology*, 2 (2), 88-102
- [8] Hening, Y. (2017). Analisis Minat Beli Wanita terhadap Produk Tas Bermerek Original di Tengah Komoditi Produk Tiruan Produksi Produsen Lokal. Retrieved on April 22, 2017 at <http://www.dinus.ac.id/wbsc/assets/dokume/majalah/ipi360772.pdf>
- [9] Hupman, R. and Zaichkowsky, J.L. (1995). Brand Imitation and The Consumer: An Ethical Perspective. *European Advances in Consumer Research*, 2, 418-424.
- [10] Komaladewi, R. and Indika, D. (2017). A Review of Consumer Purchase Decision on Low Cost Green Car in West Java, Indonesia. *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 6 (2), 172-184
- [11] Kotler, P. and Armstrong, G. (2014). *Principles of Marketing*. 15th ed. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall
- [12] Kotler, P. and Keller, K.L. (2016). *Marketing Management*. 15ed. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall

- [13] Li, X.G., Wang, X, and Cai, Y.J. (2011). Corporate, Product, and User-Image Dimensions and Purchase Intentions. *Journal of Computers*, 6 (9), 1875-1879
- [14] Lien, C., Wen, M.J., Huang, L. and Wu, K. (2015). Online Hotel Booking: The Effects of Brand Image, Price, Trust and Value on Purchase Intentions. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 20, 210-218
- [15] Lin, N.H. (2007). The Effect of Brand Image and Product Knowledge on Purchase Intention Moderated by Price Discount. *Journal of International Management Studies*, August, 121-132
- [16] Marta, K. (2016). Influence of The Brand on Purchase Decision. *Academica Brancusi Publisher*, 6, 54-57
- [17] Mramba, N.R. (2015). Does the Brand Name Matter to Purchase Decision? The Case of Mobile Phone. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7 (4), 335-343
- [18] Sangadji, E.M. and Sopiah. (2013). Perilaku Konsumen: Pendekatan Praktis disertai Himpunan Jurnal Penelitian. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- [19] Tajdar, S., Ahmad, S., Ahmad, J. and Khan, A. (2015). Customers' Prescription of Foreign versus Local Brands in the Pharmaceutical Industry of Peshawar (Pakistan). *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 4 (2), 378-396
- [20] Temsnguanwong, S. (2015). OTOP Product Champion Marketing Strategy Model Which are Selected the Best OPC 5-Star Product Approach of Chiang Mai Province: The Fabric and Apparel Community, Thailand. *Review of Integrative Business & Economics Research*, 4 (4), 259-276
- [21] Tjiptono, F. (2008). Strategi Pemasaran, Edisi 3. ANDI: Yogyakarta
- [22] Vogel, A.T. and Watchravesringkan, K. (2017). Consumer Evaluation of Trend Imitation: Brand Equity, Consumer Attitudes and Preference. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 26 (5), 516-527.
- [23] Wu, C.S. (2015). a Study on Consumers' Attitude Towards Brand Image, Athletes' Endorsement, and Purchase Intention. *The International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, 8 (2), 233-253
- [24] Xu, P. and Yi, L.S. (2009). Imitation Brand (The Influencing Factors to Chinese Consumers Purchasing Behavior). *University of Halmstad: Master's Dissertation in International Marketing*, 1-83.
- [25] Zhang, Y. (2015). The Impact of Brand Image on Consumer Behavior: A Literature Review. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, (3), 58-62.