

[IJLPS] Submission Acknowledgement

Editor in Chief <journals@al-kindipublisher.com> Kepada: Mahrus Ali <mahrus ali@uii.ac.id> 8 Juni 2022 17.37

Mahrus Ali:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Fulfilling the Needs of Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom" to International Journal of Law and Politics Studies. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: https://al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijlps/authorDashboard/submission/3548 Username: mahrus

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

International Journal of Law and Politics Studies



[IJLPS] Decision on Manuscript

Al-Kindi Center for Research and Development (KCRD) <journals@al-kindipublisher.com> Kepada: Mahrus Ali <mahrus ali@uii.ac.id>

14 Juni 2022 20.32

Dear Mahrus Ali.

Many thanks for your submission to our journal. We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Law and Politics Studies, "Fulfilling the Needs of Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom ". After your paper has been subject to a Fast Track Review Process, it has been accepted to be published in our journal subject to minor revisions. Please find the results attached. After you make the revisions, send us the revised paper as soon as possible.

We wish you the very best of luck with your future work and thank you for considering our journal.

Regards,

Editorial Office Al-Kindi Center for Research and Development 3 Dryden Avenue W7 1ES, Hanwell, London, UK Registered in England & Wales No. 13110099 Journal's Website: https://al-kindipublishers.com

International Journal of Law and Politics Studies

2 lampiran

63K



B-Manuscript Evaluation Form -- Author.pdf



B-Fulfilling the Needs of Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom.docx

International Journal of Law and Politics Studies (IJLPS)

ISSN: 2709-0914

Website: https://al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijlps



Fulfilling the Needs of Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom

Mahrus Ali

Faculty of Law Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia Corresponding Author: Mahrus Ali, E-mail: mahrus_ali@uii.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT			
Received:	The purpose of this article is to examine the necessity for a special criminal procedure			
Accepted:	for disabled people in the Indonesian court system. This study used legislation and			
Volume:	conceptual techniques to conduct doctrinal legal research. According to the			
Issue:	conclusions of this study, the criminal justice system is built on the normality principle,			
KEYWORDS	which states that everyone is physically and mentally normal. As a result, the			
Criminal justice system Social model of disability Courtroom	investigation, prosecution, and examination processes in court proceedings are solely designed and geared at ordinary people. Disabled people have been pushed to the outskirts and may_be disregarded. The rights of people with disabilities are routinely violated, both as perpetrators and as witnesses/victims of criminal activity. For the criminal justice system to be pro-people, the medical method should be abandoned in favor of a social approach. Law enforcement must perform its duties at each level of the criminal justice system to ensure that people with disabilities receive a fair trial. A profile assessment is required in the early stages of the criminal justice process to evaluate the character, difficulties, and needs of people with disabilities.			

1. Introduction

The criminal justice system was not designed with disabled persons in mind from the beginning. Witnesses or victims of crimes with disabilities are treated the same as other witnesses or victims. They are required to testify based on what they have saw, heard, or experienced. In fact, not all of them will be able to hear or see a crime because they are deaf or blind, or because they have intellectual and psychological barriers, such as those experienced by slow learners or mentally retarded, causing difficulties in giving testimony during the investigation and examination stages of court proceedings. When examined closely, the Criminal Procedure Code is mostly influenced by a shared philosophy or point of view. Legal restrictions (statutory standards) are designed and intended primarily for regular people, neglecting the realities of disabled persons. The way law enforcement officers handle perpetrators, witnesses, and victims of individuals with disabilities reflects this sense of normalcy. Indeed, given the character, challenges, and requirements of persons with disabilities, whom some people define as abnormal, this worldview is not only inaccurate from the start, but also prejudiced. Under the pretense of equality before the law, police, prosecutors, and courts need witnesses to be people who have seen, heard, or experienced a criminal crime without exception. Law enforcement investigators also questioned whether the attitude of retardation's testimony was given only to clarify confusing replies to a query. (3)

People with disabilities are more likely to be victims of criminal acts such as rape, assault, or sexual abuse, hence they should be given legal protection, including the establishment of a pro-disability criminal justice system. (4) The idea is to make sure they get a fair trial. (5) If the major purpose of building the criminal justice system is to seek material truth, this goal will be defeated if the architecture of the criminal justice system is still based on the normality ideology. (6) This paper aims to explore the needs of a person with disabilities in the criminal justice system. The paper focuses on the regulatory framework on-for the needs of the person with a disability in criminal cases in the courtroom. This study also explores the proposal for fulfilling the needs of such persons in the criminal justice system.

The first part of this study shows why a shift in theoretical approach is required to understand impairments. Until To date, the medical approach/model has dominated how disability is seen as a notion or issue. Persons with impairments are assumed to be unwell people who require medical attention. This method is, without a doubt, ineffective and skewed. As a result, discussing disabilities must be done with the goal of breaking down barriers and educating people about bias, with the goal of inclusion

Commented [A1]: -The in-text citation should be strictly made according to APA Style. In the in-text citation of your paper, you use numbers for the source used. Kindly you need to use the author's family name and year.

Example: (Alsalim, 2019) (Alsalim, 2010, p. 25).

Check all in-text citations for the same

in mind. The conceptual underpinning of the Indonesian Criminal Code, which is built on the ideology of normalcy, is examined in the second section of this study. People with impairments were not considered when the Criminal Procedure Code was written. As a result, they are commonly used as scape goat. The paper's conclusion underlines the importance of a criminal justice system that is pro-disabled. Investigators, public prosecutors, and judges must pay attention to and fulfill the rights of persons with disabilities who are suspects, defendants, witnesses, or victims of criminal acts, rather than the barriers to interaction that prevent persons with disabilities from enjoying their rights to a fair trial.

2. Literature Review

Examining the concept of people with disabilities from the standpoint of building models will be more appropriate. When it comes to the presence of persons with disabilities, the medical paradigm was the first to emerge. According to this perspective, a person with a handicap is considered as a sick person who requires care, rehabilitation, medication, and compassion. Disability is viewed as a problem that affects persons with disabilities rather than society in this approach. He also holds persons with impairments accountable for rehabilitating or treating them so that they can adjust to society. Medical model adherents use the term "person with a record" or "handicap" to denote someone who has a physical aberration.⁽⁷⁾

Professionals and persons with disabilities themselves argued against the medical model, leading to the development of the Minority Group Model of Disability. In this approach, people with disabilities are designated as a marginalized minority group. According to this paradigm, which was first proposed by a British Disability Studies expert, people with disabilities, as well as disadvantaged groups based on race, gender, or sexual orientation, are victims of humiliation, discrimination, and exclusion from the community. People with disabilities will always be marginalized since they are considered outliers having a smaller population than the general population.

Many groups, notably those with disabilities, find the minority group's disability paradigm inadequate. Physical defects are a gift from God, and they do not need to be treated as a problem, so that whether a person is normal or not, they are more concerned with their profession and social function. As a result of this approach, a social paradigm for dealing with handicap issues has emerged (social model of disability). In this concept, disability is considered as a social construct and a part of the human experience. The link between people with impairments and society's still discriminatory attitudes is complicated by disability. As a result, the goal is to tear down barriers and educate people on how to overcome prejudice to achieve insulation. A condition that prohibits a person from interacting with their surroundings is referred to as a disability. The surrounding environment contributes to this hindrance by making it difficult for people with disabilities to interact with others. (8)

Law Number 19 of 2011 about the Ratification of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities incorporates the content of the social model, the barrier-based approach to understanding disability. "Disability is a changing concept," states the letter e in the preamble, "and it is the result of the interplay between physically and intellectually deficient people and environmental constraints that prevent their full and effective participation in society on an equal footing with other people." This prologue explains that disability is a notion that refers to the problems that people have as a result of chronic physical, mental, intellectual, or sensory discomfort that prohibits full and effective participation in society.

The obstacles that a person confronts impact his or her capacity to interact with other people and their environment. A deaf person, for example, is not considered impaired if he is unable to speak with others. When a person uses sign language to communicate with someone who does not understand it, they are referred to as a handicapped person. The disability will be removed if the other person uses sign language that the deaf person understands. Another example is a blind man. He is not regarded a person with a disability if he does not interact with his environment, such as while reading non-braille styles of writing. When a blind person reads an article intended for non-blind people in a newspaper, book, or on the internet, he is referred to as a person with a disability. If he had access to written knowledge in braille, his disabilities would vanish. [9]

3. Methodology

This study used doctrinal legal research to examine the legal norms promulgated in Indonesia's Criminal Procedure Code that govern the special procedure for disabled people. Furthermore, the study took a conceptual approach. The fundamental understanding of medical and social theories of disability plays a significant role in explaining the comprehensive regulatory framework for the criminal justice system's specific criminal procedure for disabled people. The data for this study was gathered through the usage of literature. The results of this study were then analyzed qualitatively.

4. Results and Discussion

A Regulatory Framework of Indonesian Criminal Procedure Code for Person with Disability

At least two sorts of interests must be balanced in the criminal justice system: the public interest, the interests of citizens who are victims of crime, either directly or indirectly, and the interests of criminals. The proportional evaluation of these two objectives must be one of the major intellectual underpinnings in the formation of the criminal justice system. Examining the legal norms in Law Number 8 of 1981 governing the Criminal Procedure Code, it emerges that the fundamental impetus for the Code's establishment was the necessity to protect criminals' human rights, which were routinely infringed by criminal law enforcement personnel.

It's it is not unexpected that victims are disregarded and forgotten in the criminal justice system because the emphasis in the Criminal Procedure Code is on the protection of criminals. The victim is reduced to a witness who is required to tell what he saw and felt, both morally and legally. The existence of the information is forgotten if it was presented both during the investigation and at the court hearing. It's it is only logical that the subject of individuals with disabilities did not come up at the time, given this mindset. With the number of persons with impairments rising year after year, paired with their vulnerability, it seems unthinkable that this could happen. (10)

The normality notion was also used to construct the Procedure Code, which is still in use today. The officer must not be deaf and must have a whole and undamaged physique. A person with a lame, dwarf body, one eye, one hand, or one leg cannot be a public prosecutor. You must be in good physical and mental health to serve as a judge. It is impossible to judge a person with one hand, one long leg, who only sees the right or left eye, or a midget for the rest of their lives if they have this disease. Because police officers, prosecutors, and judges are descended from physically perfect people, even if their morals and integrity are corrupted. People with disabilities who are victims of criminal acts must be treated as ordinary victims of criminal acts, not as people with disabilities.⁽¹¹⁾

A person who appears to be physically normal is referred to as a suspect or defendant. The rights of suspects and defendants in the Criminal Procedure Code are also based on this notion of respect, and they do not take sides with the legal interests of people with disabilities, whether they are suspects, defendants, witnesses, or victims of a crime. First, a suspect's or defendant's right to be clearly informed about what he is suspected or charged off in a language he understands is restricted to Indonesian and has nothing to do with sign language. Second, while a suspect or defendant may request interpretive assistance at any time, this clause only applies to suspects, defendants, and witnesses. Third, the Criminal Procedure Code regulates interpreters for deaf or mute defendants or witnesses, but it is unclear what criteria are used to ensure that the translation understands the language, personality, and habits of people with disabilities.⁽¹²⁾

The primary idea in relation to the investigation and prosecution procedures is that, while there is a relationship between them, they are still independent entities. Although the investigator has a moral obligation to notify the public prosecutor when an investigation begins, this does not mean the two are irrevocably linked. Because the public prosecutor can determine that the investigator's Investigation Report is incomplete and must be completed, this is the case. During this process, the report will most likely be transmitted back and forth between the investigator and the public prosecutor. This condition is plainly hazardous to persons who have disabilities, especially those who have communicative and intellectual issues such as autism, slow learners, and mental retardation. Furthermore, the process of processing the Crime Scene, which may be repeated several times, has a psychological influence on the active participation of witnesses or victims with disabilities. (13)

Judges who are chosen to hear cases apply to people with disabilities in general during the examination procedure in court. In other words, judges who deal with cases involving people with impairments are not bound by any rules. Judges are also prohibited from adopting attitudes or making statements that throw doubt on the credibility of witnesses or victims. In situations of rape, revocation, or sexual abuse, however, it is well recognized that the judge's questioning frequently turns the

victim into a second victim. Victims of criminal offences are re-victimized because of the attitude of law enforcement employees who are unconcerned about their rights and interests.⁽¹⁴⁾

To testify in court, witnesses and victims must swear or promise to speak the truth. Typically, oaths or pledges are taken under the assumption that the witness or victim is physically and mentally healthy, and that they have never met anybody with a disability. Without the defendant present, judges can hear witness/victim testimony about specific themes, although this is not needed. The judge would generally order the defendant to leave the courtroom if the facts of the case demonstrate that the witness was traumatized or was not free while testifying in the presence of the defendant. This means that when the witness/victim testifies, the order to prosecute the defendant will only be carried out if the witness/victim is guilty. This structure clearly does not protect the rights of individuals with disabilities, especially those with intellectual disabilities who are frequently exposed to trauma over time. (15)

The previous explanation indicates that the criminal justice system, as contained in the Criminal Procedure Code, continues to be biased towards people with disabilities and is based on the concept of normalcy. In fact, in the criminal justice system, the rights and needs of suspects, defendants, witnesses, and victims with disabilities differ from those of suspects, defendants, witnesses, and victims without disabilities. By comparing the two, the criminal justice system effectively fails to protect and support persons with disabilities. As a result, it is critical to build a criminal justice system for individuals with disabilities, so that their rights, on the one hand, are honored, and the material reality, on the other, is protected. (16)

The Need for Psychological and Legal Treatment for Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom

The barrier technique is used in order for the criminal justice system to be pro-disability for individuals with disabilities, as stated in the social model. What's needed here isn't a list of the rights of people with disabilities who are suspects, defendants, witnesses, or victims of criminal acts that investigators, public prosecutors, and judges must consider and fulfill, but rather a list of the barriers to interaction that prevent people with disabilities from enjoying their right to a fair trial. The rights of people with disabilities will be known, and law enforcement personnel will be able to perform their obligations by understanding and assessing these obstacles. Because the social model views disability as a matter of contact between people with disabilities, it is the job of law enforcement personnel to detect these roadblocks. Once these constraints have been recognized, the rights and needs of people with disabilities can be identified. (17)

Identifying the hurdles and needs of people with disabilities dealing with the criminal justice system must happen during the investigation stage, as the findings will be used as guidelines for dealing with cases during the prosecution and examination stages of the court proceedings. As a result, investigators, public prosecutors, and judges dealing with cases involving people with disabilities must be knowledgeable with the topic of disability, which takes the form of competency certification and necessitates a great deal of patience. 10,4 The conclusion is that investigations, prosecutions, and exams in court procedures involving persons with disabilities are null and void if they are handled by investigators, public prosecutors, and judges who do not have competency certifications. Investigators, public prosecutors, and judges are all jobs that people with disabilities can do. What is the rationale for this? The explanation is that they can perceive the barriers and needs of people with impairments. Furthermore, it is critical to instill a sense of four in investigators, public prosecutors, and judges when dealing with persons with disabilities so that they can better fulfill their tasks.⁽¹⁸⁾

It is simple to identify the hurdles and needs of individuals with disabilities by presenting disability psychologists, psychiatrists, interpreters, and teachers, friends of deans of persons with disabilities, or their parents, as well as organizations of persons with disabilities. This evaluation must be done during the investigation stage, and the findings will have an impact and be used to fulfill the rights of individuals with disabilities in the future. Following the profile assessment, it will be determined what type of disability a person with a disability has, whether he or she requires a companion from the investigation stage to the examination stage at the court hearing, whether it is necessary to meet the defendant when giving information, how to communicate and ask law enforcement officials, psychological resilience of persons with disabilities during the examination process, and what kind of intelligence a person with a disability pose. (19)

The profile assessment must include a mentally retarded and deaf and mute crime victim, as well as a disability psychologist, psychiatrist, sign interpreter, teacher, playmate, or the victim's family. The most prevalent challenges that people with disabilities face are legal and communication issues. The amount of time for examinations, examination procedures,

examination room design, and help from the investigation stage to the examination stage during court proceedings are all presented to psychologists with disabilities. All costs are covered by the government.

In a case where the victim becomes traumatized during the police interview, the interview is moved to a location that the victim appreciates, such as a park, the victim's house, or even the playground where the victim used to play with his friends. The judge must remove his large shirt during the courtroom examination if the victim will be disturbed by viewing it. The defendant was swiftly issued by the judge during the victim's examination if the victim was traumatized when he saw the defendant. The question-and-answer process must be broken up with pauses if the victim can only commit 30 minutes to answering questions from investigators and judges. If the victim requires psychological aid, the psychologist must be present during the investigation and the court hearing.⁽²⁰⁾

Presenting psychiatrists must be psychologists with experience dealing with disabilities. It must be present both throughout the investigation and in court to avoid bad consequences, as well as to analyze the victim's mental state to generate medical records related to his psychiatric condition. Certain medications, such as antidepressants, are essential to alleviate the victim's mental state. Sign language interpreters are brought in to help with communication issues. Translators should be deaf people, individuals close to and trusted by the deaf, or others who are not deaf but understand and have long communicated and interacted with the deaf. It is desirable if investigators, public prosecutors, and courts are required to provide not one, but three translators. The first is a deaf interpreter who instantly translates the statements of the deaf perpetrator or victim. The non-deaf translator's job is to provide investigators, public prosecutors, and courts with the deaf translator's translation. To ensure that the deaf translation is correct, a third person who understands deaf sign language is required. (21)

Teachers, playmates, or parents of the victims are called in to provide background information on the crime's timeline, the victim's daily activities, and items that the victim carries all the time, such as calendars or dolls. In some cases of rape involving a mentally retarded victim, the teacher or playmate is commonly used as a haven for the victim to confide in. It is easier for victims to tell what happened to them than it is for their parents. However, some victims were more forthcoming with their parents than with their classmates or professors. As a result, their presence is crucial in understanding the barriers and needs of victims. (22)

Case handlers for people with disabilities must work together in the same way that environmental protection and management cases and election criminal cases are handled, in addition to having certification of competence in handling cases involving people with disabilities and conducting a profile assessment. The purpose is to ensure that procedures and methods for dealing with issues concerning individuals with disabilities are not only straightforward, but that their right to a fair trial is also recognized. Persons with disabilities organizations are necessary because, in some circumstances when people with disabilities have been victims of criminal activity, the participation of this organization is crucial to the appropriate resolution of these cases. In addition, his presence is beneficial when investigators, public prosecutors, and judges face issues related to the restrictions and expectations of people with disabilities. In other words, organizations that work with persons with disabilities can provide useful information to aid the investigation's profile evaluation, such as presenting a disability psychologist, the host, and even the victim himself.⁽²³⁾

5. Conclusion

The Criminal Procedure Code, which serves as a normative reference for the administration of the criminal justice system, is said to be based on the normalcy ideology, which argues that everyone is physically and mentally normal. As a result, the investigation, prosecution, and examination processes in court proceedings are solely designed and geared at ordinary people. Only a person who can personally observe a crime can be used as a witness; even if he can smell and "sees" the event, a blind person cannot be used as a witness. This situation clearly excludes the presence of those who are deaf, blind, or mentally ill when dealing with criminals.

Labeled as abnormal people, persons with disabilities' rights are routinely violated, both as perpetrators and as witnesses/victims of criminal actions. The Criminal Procedure Code is designed primarily for normal people. To ensure that the

criminal justice system is no longer based on normal ideologies, but rather applies proportionately to normal people and persons with disabilities in accordance with their respective characteristics and needs, criminal cases involving a disabled perpetrator or victim must be handled and examined by investigators, public prosecutors, and judges who are familiar with disability issues.

At the investigation stage, a profile assessment by a disability psychologist, psychiatrist, and special companion is required to determine the character, barriers, and needs of persons with disabilities, as the results will be used as guidelines for handling cases at the prosecution and examination stages of court proceedings. Only after a profile assessment can it be determined whether the perpetrator or witness/victim is deaf, mute, blind, mentally disabled, slow learner, or has autism, as well as how to communicate with them and what questions to ask them, the conditions of the investigation room, the examination room in the court, who must be present, and what facilities and infrastructure must be in place to fulfill their rights.

Funding: This research received no external funding

Acknowledgments: Author The author gives special thanks to the Dean of the Faculty of Law Universitas Islam Indonesia for insight and assistance in completing this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest

References

- [1] Reksodiputro M. Criminology and the Criminal Justice System [Indonesian]. Pusat Pelayanan Keadilan dan Pengabdian Hukum Universitas Indonesia. 1994.
- [2] Benedet J, Grant I. (2007), "Hearing the sexual assault complaints of women with mental disabilities: Evidentiary and procedural issues. McGill Law Journal. 52, p. 515.
- [3] Syafi'ie M. Potret Aksesibilitas Penyandang Disabilitas di Yogyakarta, Solider Jul. 28, 2013. Retrieved from https://www.solider.id/2013/07/28/ potret-aksesibilitas-penyandang-disabilitas-di-yogyakarta. Accessed on March 24, 2022.
- [4] Ortoleva S. (2020), "Inaccessible Justice: Human rights, persons with disabilities and the legal system. ILSA Journal of International & Comparative Law, 17, p. 281.
- [5] Nowak M, (2005), U.N. Covenant on Civil and Political Rights CCPR Commentary, Erw. Aufl., Kehl/ Straßburg/Arlington.
- [6] Bakhri S. (2009), The law of proof in criminal justice practice. Pusat Pengkajian & Pengembangan Ilmu Hukum, Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta.
- [7] Kanter AS. (2010), "The Law: What's disability studies got to do with it or an introduction to disability legal studies. Columbia Human Rights Law Review, 42, p.403.
- [8] Anastasious, D & Kauffman, J.M. (2013), "The Social Model of Disability: Dichotomy between Impairment and Disability. Journal of Medicine and Philosophy, 38, 4, pp. 441-459
- [9] Lawson, A and Beckett, A, E, (2020), "The Social and human rights models of disability: towards a complementary thesis, The International Journal of Human Rights, 25, 2, pp. 13
- [10] Mudzakkir, (2001). Posisi Hukum Korban Kejahatan Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana, Dissertation, Postgraduate Program, Faculty of Law, University of Indonesia, Jakarta
- [11] Mudzakkir, (2001). Posisi Hukum Korban Kejahatan Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana, Dissertation, Postgraduate Program, Faculty of Law, University of Indonesia, Jakarta
- [12] Priamsari, Putri A, (2019), "Hukum yang berkeadilan bagi penyandang disabilitas", Masalah-Masalah Hukum,48, 2, pp. 216-220
- [13] Sholihah, I, (2016), "Kebijakan Baru: Jaminan Pemenuhan hak bagi penyandang disabilitas", Sosio Informa, 2, 02, pp. 167-169
- [14] Ndaumanu, F, (2020), "Hak penyandang disabilitas: antara Tanggung Jawab dan Pelaksanaan oleh Pemerintah Daerah", Jurnal HAM, 11, 1, pp. 131-134
- [15] Priamsari, Putri A, (2019), "Hukum yang berkeadilan bagi penyandang disabilitas", Masalah-Masalah Hukum, 48, 2, pp. 216-220
- [16] Sholihah, I, (2016), "Kebijakan Baru: Jaminan Pemenuhan hak bagi penyandang disabilitas", Sosio Informa, 2, 02, pp. 167-169

Commented [A2]: - Highlight any limitations of your study - Give suggestions for future research.

Commented [A3]: - The title of journals must be italicized. Check all journal references

- [17] potential", Disability & Society, 26, 7, pp.1169-1171 (23) Syafi'ie M. Purwanti P, Ali M. (2014), Potret Difabel berhadapan dengan Hukum Negara, Yogyakarta: SIGAB.
- [18] Blume JH, Johnson SL, Millor SE. (2011), Convicting Lennie: Mental Retardation, Wrongful Convictions, and the Right to a Fair Trial. NYL School Law Review, 56, p.943.
- [19] Guo Z. (2010), Approaching visible justice: procedural safeguards for mental examinations in China's capital cases. Hastings International & Comparative Law, 33, p. 21
- [20] Australian Human Rights Commission. (2013), Access to Justice in the Criminal Justice System for People With Disability: Issues Paper. Australian Human Rights Commission.
- [21] Brodoff L, McClellan S, Anderson E. (2003), "The ADA: One Avenue to Appointed Counsel Before a Full Civil Gideon. Seattle Journal Social Justice, 2, p.609.
- [22] Grant C. (2012), The Texas Intellectual Disability Standard in Capital Murder Cases: A Proposed Statute for a Broken Method. S. Texas Law Review, 54, p. 151.
- [23] Lacovou, M, (2020), "A contribution towards a possible re-invigoration of our understanding of our social model of disability's potential, Disability & Society, 26, 1, pp. 1169-1171

Manuscript Evaluation Form				
Paper Title	Fulfilling the Needs of Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom			
Author(s) Name	Mahrus Ali			

Reviewer's Comments

	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent
Paper Title				x
List of authors, affiliations, and email addresses				x
Abstract				x
Keywords				x
Introduction				x
Literature Review				x
Design and Methodology				x
Findings and Discussion				x
Conclusion			Х	
Writing Style			Х	
Originality and Contribution to field			Х	
In-text Citations and References	х			
Statements and Declarations			Х	

Reviewer's Recommendations

- [] Accept without any changes
- [x] Accept with minor revisions
- Accept with major revisions / Revise and resubmit
- [] Reject: Manuscript is flawed or not sufficiently novel

Suggestions for Author(s)

Your paper has been accepted subject to minor corrections. Kindly see your paper attached with the email to make the amendments suggested by the reviewers. You can see the reviewer's comments on the right sidebar of your paper.

Grammarly Report Outcome

The reviewers have run your paper through Grammarly. It received **a 1%** similarity rate, which is acceptable. Feel free to ask for a copy of your Grammarly report.

Schedule of Publication

Your paper will be published in the June issue (Vol. 4, No. 1, 2022) after the revisions (if any) and the payment are made by the author(s).

Article Processing Charges

You are required to pay an article processing charge of **USD 100**. Please send your Article Processing Charges within **THREE** days.

Methods of Payment

1- Bank Transfer:

Please send your Article Processing Charges through a bank transfer to the following account:

Beneficiary Name (Editor-in-Chief) Murad Hassan Mohammed Sawalmeh

Beneficiary Account No.0401049364190017Beneficiary AddressSalalah, Dhofar, Oman

Bank Address Al Saada, Salalah, Dhofar, Oman

Bank Name Bank Muscat
Swift Code/ BIC (Bank Identifier Code) BMUSOMRXXXX

Country Oman

Note: If you need to use this method you are required to add 15 USD as bank processing charges.

2- Online Payment: You or anyone on your behalf can make the payment through your Visa, Visa debit, Visa Electron, MasterCard, UnionPay, Discover, American Express or Maestro)

Please send your Article Processing Charges through PayPal according to the following details:

- Online Payment Link

https://al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijlps/Article-Proccessing-Charges

If you or anyone on your behalf need to pay through PayPal using Visa, Visa debit, Visa Electron, MasterCard, UnionPay, Discover, American Express or Maestro please follow these steps:

1- Click this link:

https://al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijlps/Article-Proccessing-Charges

- 2- Click "Make Payment"
- 3- Click "Pay Out"
- 4- Choose your country from the list
- 5- Fill in all information required from your Visa
- 6- Click "Agree and Pay"

Kindly note that your financial details won't be shared with us.

Additional Information

- 1- You can download your article in PDF format by visiting the journal website (https://al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijlps/index).
- 2- Article Processing Charges include:
- a- Publication of one entire research paper online;
- b- Free Certificate of Publication;
- c- Indexing and Abstracting in world-class databases; and
- d- Maintenance of website, link revolvers and journal infrastructures to ensure 100 per cent online availability of all published papers.
- e- Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for the paper.
- 3- Please send a soft copy of the payment proof to ijlps.kindi@gmail.com
- 4- Any person could send your paper fees on your behalf.
- 5- For any help, you can contact us through WhatsApp on 0096897618847

Ethical Guidelines for Authors

- The author should not submit concurrent manuscripts (or manuscripts essentially describing the same subject matter) to multiple journals. Likewise, an author should not submit any paper previously published anywhere to the journals for consideration. The publication of articles on specific subject matter, such as clinical guidelines and translations, in more than one journal is acceptable if certain conditions are met.
- The author should present a precise and brief report of his or her research and an impartial description of its significance.
- The author should honestly gather and interpret his or her research data. Publishers, editors, reviewers, and readers are entitled to request the author to provide the raw data for his or her research for convenience of editorial review and public access. If practicable, the author should retain such data for any possible use after publication.
- The author should guarantee that the works he or she has submitted are original. If the author has used work and/or words by others, appropriate citations are required.

Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

• The author should indicate explicitly all sources that have supported the research and also declare any conflict(s) of interest.

- The author should give due acknowledgement to all of those who have made contributions to the research. Those who have contributed significantly to the research should be listed as coauthors. The author should ensure that all coauthors have affirmed the final version of the paper and have agreed on its final publication.
- The author should promptly inform the journal editor of any obvious error(s) in his or her published paper and cooperate earnestly with the editor in retraction or correction of the paper. If the editor is notified by any party other than the author that the published paper contains an obvious error, the author should write a retraction or make the correction based on the medium of publication.

Publishing your paper in the International Journal of Law and Politics Studies offers you many benefits including:

- ✓ Indexing in world-class databases
- ✓ Open access format of published content ensures high visibility and discoverability of your work
- ✓ Rigorous peer review for your work
- ✓ Expert Editor-in-Chief and editorial board members with a strong expertise in the field
- ✓ Prompt submission and review process
- ✓ Nominal article processing charge (APC)
- ✓ Rapid online publication allowing you to share your work quickly
- ✓ Retention of full copyright of your article through unrestrictive publishing agreements
- ✓ DOI (Digital Object Identifier) for every article
- ✓ Free certificate of Article publication
- Extensive global readership and online visibility

International Journal of Law and Politics Studies

Published by Al-Kindi Center for Research and Development 3 Dryden Avenue, W7 1ES, Hanwell, London, UK Registered in England & Wales No. 13110099





[IJLPS] Editor Decision

Al-Kindi Center for Research and Development (KCRD) < journals@al-kindipublisher.com> Kepada: Mahrus Ali <mahrus_ali@uii.ac.id>

22 Juni 2022 17.42

Mahrus Ali:

The editing of your submission, "Fulfilling the Needs of Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom," is complete. We are now sending it to publication.

Submission URL: https://al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijlps/authorDashboard/submission/3548

International Journal of Law and Politics Studies



Correcting the author affiliation for the published manuscript

Mahrus Ali <094100102@uii.ac.id>

22 Juni 2022 22.02

Kepada: "Al-Kindi Center for Research and Development (KCRD)" < journals@al-kindipublisher.com>

Dear editor of International journal of law and politics studies

Thank you very much for publishing my manuscript titled 'Fulfilling the Needs of the Person with Disabilities in the Courtroom. I found the incorrect author affiliation in the published paper which is **Mahrus Ali Islam Indonesia Faculty of Law, Universitas, Yogyakarta Indonesia.** The correct author affiliation is:

Mahrus Ali

Faculty of Law Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

I do hope that the editor would like to fix the incorrect author affiliation in the newest version of the published paper.

Regards

Mahrus Ali

--

Dr. Mahrus Ali, S.H.,M.H. Dosen Hukum Pidana Fakultas Hukum Universitas Islam Indonesia Jl. Tamansiswa 158 Yogyakarta, 55151